I REFERENCE COPY NOT FOR ISSUE

# NATIONAL NUTRITION MONITORING BUREAU

# **REPORT OF URBAN SURVEY - SLUMS**

(1993 - 94)

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF NUTRITION Indian Council of Medical Research Hyderabad - 500 007

1994

# NATIONAL NUTRITION MONITORING BUREAU

**REPORT OF URBAN SURVEY - SLUMS** 

(1993-94)

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF NUTRITION Indian Council of Medical Research Hyderabad - 500 007

1994

# Contents

	Page No
Introduction	1
Methodology	2
Sampling	2
Investigations	3
Analysis	3
Results	б
Comments	15
Summary	19
References	21
Annexure – I	Tables
Annexure – II	i - x

#### INTRODUCTION

The National Nutrition Monitoring Bureau (NNMB), since its inception in the year 1972, has been carrying cut surveys in rural areas of the country. Between the year 1975 and 1980 each unit in addition covered a sample of 250 households in urban areas in one calendar year. In the year 1983, NNMB surveys were linked up with National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO) which established that it was technically feasible to carry out a survey of food consumption and nutritional status of rural communities using the sampling design of NSSO. Based on this experience, in the year 1991, the Bureau adopted the sampling design of NSSO, and covered 16 strata (districts) in each State. Thus, the earlier limitation in the spatial distribution of the sample in each State was also overcome.

A repeat survey was carried out in the year 1988-90 in the same villages which were surveyed earlier during 1975-79 in each State, to assess whether there were changes, if any, in the diet and nutritional status.

An urban survey of different income groups was carried Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, the cities of out in Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack, Calcutta, Hyderabad, Lucknow, Madras,

Nagpur and Trivandrum, where the headquarters of the State

Units of NNMB are located, during the period 1975-80. The

urban sample (50 households from each group) included

households of low, middle, high income groups, industrial labourers and slum dwellers.

information has been collected on Since no urban 13 years, a segments of the papulation during the last initiated to obtain information on food survey was consumption and nutritional status of urban communities, and to compare the same with the data collected during 1975-80 to find out time trends, if any.

The specific groups proposed to be surveyed for the purpose were from the three distinct economic categories namely the high, middle and low income groups, and slum dwellers. To start with, the survey of urban slum dwellers has been initiated in July 1993,

#### MTHODOLOGY

# Sampling :

A sample of 200 households from each of the four socioeconomic groups was considered adequate to provide a representative picture of diet and nutritional status of each group. For the purpose, the slums in each city were stratified according to size of the population. From these

strata, 20 slums were selected according to **Probability proportion to size (PPS).** From each of the selected slums, 10 households were randomly chosen by using systematic, sampling procedure.

# Investigations :

- (a) Socio-economic particulars like occupation of the head of the family, total family income, land possession, type, of family, type of dwelling were recorded by interviewing the head of the household.
- (b) In each slum, one day weighment method of diet survey was carried out in 5 households, while in the rest of the 5 households, oral questionnaire (24 hour recall) method of diet survey was carried out on all the members of the family.
- (c) Anthropometric measurements like standing height, weight, mid upper arm circumference and fat fold at triceps were taken on all the available members in each of the selected households.
- (d) Clinical examination for the presence of signs of nutritional deficiency was carried out on all the above individuals.

Analysis:

# (a) Diet Survey:

i) Weighment method :

The intakes were expressed per consumption unit\* (CUI) and compared with the Recommended Dietary Intakes (RDI)

# suggested by ICMR (1991). The nutrient content of the foods

- \_\_\_\_\_
- \* The calorie consumption of an average adult man, weighing 60 kg, doing secentary type of work is taken as one consumption unit, and the other coefficients are worked out on the basis of calorie requirement proportionately.

consumed was calculated using the Food Composition Tables. The average food and nutrient intakes were computed and presented according to the socio-economic status in each city/town.

Calorie adequacy status of the households were determined adopting the following procedure :

requirements of 2,350 Kcal and 46 g were taken The to represent the average for energy and proteins per CU The distribution of requirements was respectively. assumed a Gaussian distribution with a coefficient to follow of variation of 15%. То determine whether particular а household was consuming adequate amount of protein or energy or not, Mean - 2 SE of the requirements was used as the cut-If, in a given household the intake per CU of protein off. above this cut-off, the household energy or was was considered as consuming adequate amount of either calories the households were, thus, classified into protein. All or four categories of protein-calorie adequacy and inadequacy.

ii) Oral Questionnaire :

The food and nutrient intakes of individuals surveyed

2

in different socio-economic groups and cities were calculated according to age, sex, physiological status and physical activity. The mean values were compared with the 1 recommenced levels suggested by the ICMR Expert Committee.

## b) Anthropometry :

Means SDs for height, weight, mid upper and arm circumference and fat fold at triceps were computed for each sax. data Bhopal age and The from was observed to have during scrutiny, certain discrepancies and, the hence, anthropometric survey of preschool children was being repeated.

The body weights of preschool children were expressed as percentage of weight-for-age of well-to-do Hyderabad 3 4 children and NCHS standards, and all the children were categorized into different nutrition grades as per Gomez 5 classification given below :

Weight for age Nutritional Grade
(% of standard)
>90 Normal ('Normal' Nutrition)
75 - 90 Grade I ('Mild' malnutrition)
60 - 75 Grade II ('Moderate' malnutrition)
<60 Grade III ('Severe' malnutrition)</pre>

Body Mass Index (BMI) :

The Body Mass Index [Weight in kgs/ (Height in 2

meters) ] was used as an indicator of nutritional status of the adults. The distribution of adults according to different degrees of chronic energy deficiency (CED) and 6 obesity was calculated as given below :

Body Mass Index	Nutritional Grade
- $<16.0$ $16.0 - 17.0$ $17.0 - 18.5$ $18.5 - 20.0$ $20.0 - 25.0$ $25.0 - 30.0$ $>30.0$	III degree CED II degree CED I degree CED Low Normal Normal Overweight (I degree obese) Obese

#### RESULTS

The details of sample covered are provided in Table-1 and the cities surveyed are indicated in the Map (Fig.l).

The survey could not be carried out in Lucknow due to logistic reasons. In the city of Calcutta, as the survey was initiated late, the data was not received at the time of analysis and hence was not analysed. Due to certain observed clinical/ anthropometric inconsistencies in the data received from the cities of Madras and Bhopal, it was not included in the present report.

## Food Consumption:

The average daily consumption of food stuffs (g) per consumption unit is presented in Table - 2.

## Cereals and Millets :

the cities, cereals and millets (g/CU/day) In all bulk of the diet of formed the the slum dwellers. The consumption of cereals 22-120 g lower was than that suggested for balanced diets among the eight cities. The intakes were 74 - 95% of the RDI.

#### Pulses :

The average intake of pulses ranged from 10g in

Trivandrum to 34 g in Nagpur and was below the RDA. In the cities of Hyderabad, Madras and Trivandrum, there was more than 30% deficit in the consumption of pulses as compared to the RDI.

# Vegetables :

In general, consumption of vegetables was below the suggested allowances. The intake of green leafy vegetables, the least expensive rich source of iron and B-carotene, was less than 70% of RDI, except in the city of Bhopal.

The intakes of other vegetables were particularly low in the cities of Hyderabad (32 g), Madras (39 g) and Trivandrum (26 g), as against the RDI of 60 g.

# Roots and Tubers :

The maximum consumption of roots and tubers was noticed in Bhubaneshwar (102 g) followed by Trivandrum (69 g). While in Trivandrum it was tapioca and in Bhubaneshwar it was because of onions and potatoes. Among the rest of the cities Hyderabad had the lowest. intake (50% RDI).

# Nuts and Oil Seeds :

In Trivandrum, the consumption of nuts and oil seeds, particularly coconuts, was high (90 g), while in all the remaining cities the intake was less than 10 g.

## Fruits :

7

The consumption of fruits (seasonal) was highest in

Hyderabad (68 g) and was about 30-36 g in the cities of

Bangalore, Madras and Nagpur, while it was much lower in

other States.



#### Fish and Flesh foods :

The intake of fish and flesh foods was low (<10 g) in five of the eight cities surveyed, while it was between 27-29 g in Bhubaneshwar and Madras. The consumption of fish and flesh foods, however, was high in Trivandrum (113 g) (mostly consisting fish).

# Milk and Milk Products :

In all the cities surveyed, except Ahmedabad, the consumption of milk and its products was deficient by 30% or more as compared to the RDI.

# Fats and Oils :

As in the case of milk, in all the cities except Ahmedabad, the fat intake was deficient by >30% of RDI. Infact, in the urban slums of Bangalore, Bhubaneshwar, Hyderabad and Trivandrum, the fat consumption was <30%. of RDI.

fat in the foodstuffs contributed Invisible significantly to total fat intake (visible + invisible fat), while it was nearly twice that of visible fat in most of the cities, in Trivandrum it was six times that of visible fat.

# Sugar and jaggery:

The average consumption of sugar and jaggery in the cities of Ahmedabad, Nagpur and Trivandrum was comparable to RDA while in Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Hyderabad and Madras the intakes were <70%. of RDI.

Thus, the diets, in general, were predominantly cereal based. The consumption of Green Leafy Vegetables (GLV), milk and milk products, fat and sugar was deficit by >30%. of RDI in almost all the cities. The slum dwellers in Hyderabad and Madras were generally deficient (>30%) in all the food intakes except cereals as compared to RDI. On the other hand, in the city of Ahmedabad, the diets were generally adequate except for cereal and GLV.

#### NUTRIENT INTAKE:

The average intakes of various nutrients (per CU/day) consumed in the diets by the slum dwellers in the six cities are given in Table - 3.

# Protein :

In all the cities, protein intakes of slum dwellers were below, the RDI of 60 g/CU/day except in the city of

Trivandrum. The lowest intakes were observed in the city of Hyderabad where it corresponded to about 67% of RDI, while the highest consumption (1057. of RDI) was noted in the city of Trivandrum, where the consumption of fish was very high.

# Energy :

The average intake of energy in slum dwellers varied from a low 1685 kcal (72% of RDI) in Hyderabad to a maximum of 2249 kcal (96%. of RDI) in Trivandrum. The deficit in energy intake in the rest ranged from about 15% in Bhubaneshwar to about 22%. in Madras.

# Calcium :

The intake of calcium in Trivandrum was almost twice that of RDI, perhaps, due to very high consumption of fish. In the cities of Ahmedabad, Bangalore and Bhopal, the intakes were above the RDI, while in the city of Hyderabad, there was about 407. deficit in calcium consumption.

# Iron:

In general, in all the cities the iron consumption was just about comparable to RDI, except in Hyderabad and Madras, where the intakes were deficient by over 25% as compared to RDI.

# Vitamin A:

In none of the cities, the intake of vitamin A was

satisfactory. The average intake ranged from 200 ug in Ahmedabad to 391 ug in Bhopal - much below the RDI (600

ug/CU/day).

#### Vitamin B-Complex:

In general, the intakes of thiamine (vitamin Bl) and niasin were below the suggested levels in all cities except in the cities of Ahmedabad, Bhopal and Nagpur where they were either more or comparable with, the recommended levels.

In the case of riboflavin (Vitamin B2), the intakes all were deficient in the cities. The percentage of deficiency in average intakes varied from 21% to 64% of the RDI in Bhopal and Hyderabad respectively. Even in Bangalore, Bhubaneshwar, Madras, Nagpur and Trivandrum the intakes were about half of the RDI.

# Vitamin C :

The average intake of vitamin C (ascorbic acid) varied from 32 mg in Ahmedabad to 59 mg in Nagpur while in Bangalore, Bhubaneshwar, Madras, Hyderabad, Trivandrum and Bhopal it ranged from 35 mg to 54 mg. Vitamin C is an important promoter of iron absorption.

# Protein-calorie Adequacy status of households:

The distribution of households according to proteincalorie adequacy status is presented in Table - 4. The

households consuming diets proportion of which were inadequate in calories ranged from 44% in Trivandrum to 34% Hyderabad. the other hand, the in On percentage of households with intakes which are inadequate (both in

protein and calories was highest in Hyderabad (44) and lowest in Bhopal (5). The proportion of protein inadequacy was much higher both in Madras (37%) and Hyderabad (45%) which was less than 25% in the other cities.

In general, the diets, thus, were more deficient in

# energy than that of protein.

The diets were inferior to those of the rural households particularly with respect to energy intakes.

# Socio-economic factors and food and nutrient intakes:

Socio-economic conditions such as per capita income and occupational status of head of household are known to be associated with food and nutrient consumption. The results of analysis according to occupation and per capita income are presented in Figs. 3-4. There appeared to be a linear relationship between occupation - landless labourers (lowest category) to services (better off) - and the intake of energy, protein and vitamin A.

The nutrient intakes increased with increasing per capita income per month. The intakes of energy and protein

were less than RDI in households with income less than

Rs.150 per capita per month. In the case of vitamin A,

however, only these with the per capita income of > Rs-300/-

per month had mean intakes comparable to RDI.

# PROTEIN-CALORIE ADEQUACY & INADEQUACY STATUS - URBAN SLUMS





C.



Fig. 2

# **INTAKE OF NUTRIENTS BY OCCUPATION**



# **INTAKE OF NUTRIENTS BY OCCUPATION**



ENERGY (kcal)

VITAMIN A (µg)



Fig. 3

# INTAKE OF NUTRIENTS BY PER CAPITA INCOME (Rs./per month)



# INTAKE OF NUTRIENTS BY PER CAPITA INCOME (Rs./per month)



# ENERGY (kcal) VITAMIN A (pg) $\leq 30$ $\leq 30$ $\leq 30$ $\leq 30$ $\leq 60-150$ $\leq =150$ Fig. 4



GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION OF PRE-SCHOOL GIRLS





**GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION OF PRE-SCHOOL CHILDREN** 



fig.6



GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION OF PRE-SCHOOL GIRLS





OVER WEIGET AND OBBEE (>=25.4)

fig.8

# **BMI VALUES OF ADULT FEMALES**



NORMALS (18.5-25.8)



OVER WEIGHT AND OFFER (>==15.5)

Fig.9

# Anthropometry:

The means of four anthropometric measurements, viz., height, weight, mid upper arm circumference and fat fold at tricebs (FFT) are presented according to age and sex for all the five cities/towns separately in Annexure - II (i to x)

In view of small size of sample in each age and sex group, there were variations in the mean anthropometric measurements in different ages. Care has to be taken before any conclusions are drawn.

# Weight for age status :

The body weights for age of all children (1-5 years) were expressed as percent of NCHS and Hyderabad well to do standards and the nutritional grades according to Gomez classification - 'Normals', 'mild'(Grade I), 'moderate' (Grade II) and 'severe' (Grade III) malnutrition. The Results of such a distribution are given in Table - 6. The results showed that the prevalence of 'severe' malnutrition in children (sexes pooled) was the highest in the city of Ahmedabad (18.1%), about double that of the city of Madras with next highest prevalence of 7.3%. These results are surprising when the dietary intakes of the HH in Ahmedabad

are considered which are superior to those of other cities.

Comparison between sexes in each city may not be appropriate

in view of small sample size in each age.

When the Standards based on well-to-do Hyderabad children were considered (Table - 5), the pattern was essentially similar, though the extent of malnutrition was lower (Fig. 5-7).

# Body Mass Index (BMI) :

BMI values were computed for adult men and women (those who are 18 years and above) and their percentage distribution according to Nutritional Grades are provided in Table - 7.

proportion of adults with normal BMI values (18.5-The 25.0), at the aggregate level was around 52%. The prevalence of chronic energy deficiency in males (BMI <18.5) was the highest in Bhubaneshwar/Cuttack (58%) and lowest in Trivandrum (28%). Chronic energy deficiency was slightly females than in males in almost all the cities less in surveyed. Similarly, higher proportion of overweight/obese papulation (BMI >25.0) was observed in females than in males in each city. It ranged from 4% in Bhubaneshwar to 17% each in Trivandrum and Hyderabad (Fig. 8-9).

# Clinical Signs of Nutritional Deficiency :

The clinical nutritional deficiency signs indicative of

protein energy malnutrition (PEM), vitamin A and B-complex deficiencies etc., are presented according to the age groups in Tables - 8 to 12. The results of clinical survey of Madras city are being reviewed in view of certain discrepancies and hence the results are not presented.

In general, but for a stray case of marasmus in Nagpur, the infants living in slums in all the cities surveyed were apparently healthy.

In the preschool age group, only one case marasmus (0.7%) seen in the city of Ahmedabad. Bitot spots, indicative of vitamin A deficiency were noticed in the cities of Ahmedabad (1.4%) and Bhubaneshwar/Cuttack (2-5%) and Nagpur (0. 9%).

Among the school age children (5-12 years) the common signs were those of deficiencies of vitamin A and B-complex. The prevalence of Bitot spots was observed to be over 5% in the cities of Bhubaneshwar/Cuttack, Bangalore and Ahmedabad. In Hyderabad, it was observed in about 1%. The prevalence of Angular stomatitis was between 4-9% in all the cities except Trivandrum where not a single case was observed.

#### COMMENTS

15

The diets or the slum dwellers in the cities surveyed were basically deficient in energy. However, the diets appeared to be more deficient in vitamin A and B-complex. In all the cities, vitamin A intakes were below 70% of RDI. In the city of Hyderabad, the nutrient intakes were below 70% of RDI with respect to all nutrients except protein and iron. A comparison between the current data and that of seventies (1975-79) with respect to energy did not indicate significant difference. In other words, at the aggregate level there has been little change in the average energy intakes of slum dwellers during the last fifteen years. About 647. of the households had a per capita income of Rs.<2/- per day at 1975 prices. Surely, this is not adequate to meet the RDI,

The quantity of the diet appeared to improve with increasing income and also with regular source of income (service) of the families with particular respect to the consumption of protective foods like pulses, milk, fish, vegetables etc.

In general, the intakes were the least in the Hyderabad city and better in Trivandrum (Fig. 10-13).

The mean anthropometric measurements of population surveyed in the year 1993-94 were slightly better than those of the seventies (1975-79) (Figs.14-15). When the nutritional status of preschool children, which is believed to reflect community's nutritional status, was considered,

the preschoolers of the slum dwellers of Trivandrum had

better' dietary intakes and also the lowest proportion (97.)

of undernourished children (Severe and Moderate degree). On

the other hand, there appeared to be a reversal of the

# **CUM. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS**



CUM. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS



Fig.10

# **CUM. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS**



# **CUM. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS**



# CUM. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS



# CUM. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS



## RIBOFLAVIN (mg)

ARMEDARAD		-** BEDWANSSMAR	🖶 ЕТРЕКАВАР
💥 MADRAS	-O- TRIMNORDM	A NAGPUR	SANGALORS

Fig.12



Fig.13


MEAN WEIGHTS BY AGE - MALES



0 2+ 4+ 6+ 8+ 10+ 12+ 14+ 16+ 18+ 20+ 30+ 40+ AGE (years)

---- 1975-79 ---- 1993-94 ----- NCHS

Fig.14



MEAN WEIGHTS BY AGE - FEMALES



situation in the case of Hyderabad where, oespite its low intakes both quantitatively and qualitatively the weightfor-age distribution was better.

In the case of adults, the prevalence of CED was higher adult in adult than that of women. In fact, the men higher prevalence of overweight/obesity (BMI>25.0%) was among females than males.

The households in Trivandrum had higher mean per capita (Rs.104/- pm) than the other cities - Ahmedabad income (Rs.53/- pm), Madras (Rs.65/- pm) and Hyderabad (Rs.70/observations that the slum dwellers in pm). The Hyderabad with lower intake of nutrients were better nutritionally (as judged by anthropometry and clinical examination), and that those in Bhubaneshwar/Cuttack, inspite of relatively better energy intakes had poor nutritional status are difficult to explain. Nutritional status is a resultant effect of dietary non-nutritional factors and like socio-demographic and agro-economic factors. The discrepancies between dietary intakes nutritional status observed in present and the survey may be due to several non-nutritional factors, the data about which is not available.

per capita income has limitations, in view of difficulties in assessing accurately the family income. The mean per capita income per month showed considerable

variation between the cities ranging between Rs.43/- in

Bhubaneshwar to Rs. 104/- in Kerala. The apparent contradictions cannot be explained on per capita income. Secondly, the limitations of dietary assessment, based on one day survey, should be kept in mind in interpreting the relationship between diet and nutrition.

Though, there was no significant change in overall intakes at the household level between the figures reported for the seventies (1975-79) and for the by NNMB year 1993-94, in the present study, there was an increasing trend in the proportion of normal children with simultaneous decline in the extent of severe grade malnutrition as judged by weight for age (Figs.16-20). This might be due, perhaps, target the impact of the various oriented to nutrition and poverty alleviation interventions, and other development programmes which have been in operation since the past several years all over the country.

# COMPARISON OF GOMEZ DISTRIBUTION - BOYS

(Based on well-to-do Hyderabad standards)



AHMEDABAD

**HYDERABAD** 







Fig.16

# **COMPARISON OF GOMEZ DISTRIBUTION - GIRLS**



AHMEDABAD

HYDERABAD



MADRAS

TRIVANDRUM

# (Based on well-to-do Hyderabad standards) Fig.17

# **COMPARISON OF GOMEZ DISTRIBUTIONS**

(Based on Well-to-do Hyderabad standards)







**BANGALORE - GIRLS** 





Fig.18

# COMPARISON OF GOMEZ DISTRIBUTION PRESCHOOL CHILDREN (1-5 years)

Based on well-to-do Hyderabad standards)



AHMEDABAD

HYDERABAD











1975-79 1993-94

BANGALORE



NORMAL MILD MODERATE SEVERE



# NAGPUR Fig.20

#### SUMMARY

A diet and nutrition survey was carried cut among the population living in the slums of eight cities in the States of Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa and Tamil Nadu, during the year 1993-94, where NNMB has been in operation.

In each city, 200 households were covered for diet Survey (weightment : 100, and oral questionnaire : 100), while about 800 individuals were covered for the nutrition assessment.

The consumption of different foods particularly the protective foods like pulses, GLV and milk and milk products were lower than the RDI. In all the cities the fat intakes were also very low. Consequently, the intake of various nutrients was also below the RDI. The slum population of Hyderabad, in general, had poor diets both quantiatively and qualitatively, while the diets-were relatively superior in Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhubaneshwar/Cuttack and Trivandrum.

The lower levels of intake may be due to poor purchasing power as a result of low income levels of

households as incicated by the fact that more than 60%. of

the households had a mean percapita income of less than

Rs.2/- per day, at 1975 prices.

The prevalence, of severe malnutrition. in presonool children as judged by weight for age was highest in the slums of Ahmedabad, while it was lowest in Trivandrum.

Comparison of these findings with those obtained in 1975 seemed to indicate that there was slight improvement in the nutritional status now.

Chronic energy deficiency as assessed by BMI, was noticed in adults. The females had lower prevalence of CED and higher prevalence of over weight.

dwellers in Hyderabad the cities, the slums Among exhibited and better nutritional status, though food intakes lower, while those nutrient were in Bubaneshwar/Cuttack showed poor nutritional status inspite of better consumption level. It may be due to the role of non-nutritional factors, information about which was not collected in this survey.

#### d:nnmb/summary

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Indian Council of Medical Research, 'Recommended Dietary Intakes for Indians', 1991. New Delhi.
- 2. Gopalan, C., Ramasastri, B.V. and Balasubramanian, S.C., 'Nutritive Value of Indian Foods', 1981. National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research, Hyderabad.
- 3. Hanumantha Rao, 3., Satyanarayana, K., Gowrinath Sastry, J. 'Growth Pattern of Well-to-do Hyderabad Pre-School Children'. 1976. Ind. J. Med. Res. 64, 629-638.
- 4. Hamill, P.V.V., Drizd, T.A., Jhonsan, C.L., Reed, R.B., Roche, A.F. and Moore, W.M. 'Physical Growth: National Centre for Health Statistics Percentiles'. 1979, Amer. J.Clin. Nutr. 32, 607-629.
- 5. Gomez, F., Galvan, R., Frenk, S., Cravioto, J., Chavez, R., and Vasquiz, J. 'Mortality in second and third Degree Malnutrition', Jour. Trop paed. 1956, 2, 77.
- 6. James, W.P.T., A Ferro-Luzzi and J.C.Water low. 'Definition of Chronic Energy Deficiency in Adults'. Report of a working party of the International Dietary Consultative Group, European. J. Clin. Nutr. 1988, 42:969-81.

D: nnmb: urban 1. rep

# ANNEXURE-I

	No. of	Household	ds covered	1		
State	Weighn	ient	Or	al		Overal1 coverage
	Target	Cavered	Target	Covered	ment	( % )
Ahmedabad	100	100	100	100	865	100
Bangalore	100	100	100	100	723	
Bhopal	100	101	100	100	No Cover	rage
Bhubaneshwar/	100	100	100	100	737	100
Cuttack						
Hyderabad	100	100	100	100	850	100
Madras	100	90	100	100	840	100
Nagpur	100	101	100	100	695	100
Trivandrum	100	101	100	99	737	100
Calcutta	)	Analysis	s is in pr	ogress		
Lucknow	)	Na cove:	rage			

# Coverage of households - Urban Slums

# Average intake of Food stuffs (g/CU/day) - Urban Slums

End Philip			<u> </u>	City/To	พฏ				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
FOOD STUTTS	Annedabad	Bançalore	Propal	Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack	Hydenabad	Katiras	lizopur	Trivandrus	balansed diet
He. of His	160	100	101	100	100	9)	101	101	-
Cereals & Miliets	340	410	375	438	368	325	365	364	460
fulses	29	ਸ਼	31	31	22	26	34	10	40
Leafy vegetables	6	· 8	30	22	11	8	27	16	40
Other vegetables	47	45	36	66	32	39	ස	26	60
foots & Tubers	56	32	36	102	25	42	· 48	69	50
Nuts & Dil seeds	0	10	0	ŧ	Ŧ	5	ł	90	-
Condiments spices	£ 9	18	8	2	10	17	10	21	-
Fruits	7	32	13	9	63	36	30	14	-
Fish	2	2	0	24	ŧ	14	1	112	-
Other flest toods	8	4	7	4	11	13	6	1	-
Milk	129	19	103	23	ជ	74	41	<del>98</del>	150

Fats and



\* Consumption less than one graa

Slums
Urban
I
status
nacy
inadec
and
adequacy

Prot	ein-Ca	lorie ade	squacy and	inadeq	uacy sta	tus - U	'rban Sl	smu	
Stat e	N	С I Д I	С) + С, I	С) + С, +	С I Д +	<mark>сц</mark> и	գ +	U I	U +
Ahmedabad	100	0.6	0	27.0	64.0	0.6	91.0	73.0	27.0
Bangalore	100	25.0	0	39.0	36.0	25.0	75.0	61.0	39.0
Bhopal	101	5.0	0	27.7	67.3	5.0	95.0	72.3	27.7
Bhubaneshwar ,	100	19.0	0	35.0	46.0	19.0	81.0	65.0	35.0
/ Cuttack Hyderabad	100	44.0	1.0	15.0	40.0	45.0	55.0	84.0	16.0
Madras	06	36.7	0	32.2	31.1	36.7	63.3	67.8	32.2
Magpur	101	14.9	0	30.7	54.4	14.9	85.1	69.3	30.7
Trivandrum	101	5.9	0	56.5	37.6	ъ. 9	94.1	43.6	56.4
P = Prote:	u i	+Adeque	ite						
C = Calor	1- 1-	- Inade	equate						

# Average Nutrient Intake (CU/day) - Urban Slums

Nutrionts				City/Town					
nutrents	Afuaedabad	Bangalore	Bhopal	Bhubaneshwar/ Hyderaba Cuttack	d Madras	Nagpur	Trivandrua	RDI	
No. of HHs	100	100	101	100	100	90	101	101	_
Protein (g)	53.5	45.6	57.6	50.7	9.8	45.4	51.3	62.7	60
Calories (Kcal)	1914	1913	1822	1993	L685	1843	1900	2249	2350
Calciun (mg)	448	551	471	368	279	413	392	865	450
Iron (mg)	21.1	22.0	25.5	23.0	17.6	19.3	24.5	23.9	24.0
Vitamin A (ug)	200	228	391	276	242	219	367	306	600
Thiamine (ag)	1.6	0.9	1.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	1.4	0.7	1.2
Riboflavin (mg)	1.0	0.7	1.1	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.8	1.4
Niacin (mg)	16.1	10.5	18.7	13.1	10.1	9.7	15.3	13.9	16.0
Vitamin C (mg)	32	35	41	54	31	36	59	39	40

City/Town	No. surveyed	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe
		Boys			
Ahmedabad Bangalore Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack Hyderabad Madras Nagpur Trivandrum	79 73 120 60 82 52 49	7.6 19.2 24.2 23.3 6.1 11.5 24.5	46.8 42.4 47.5 60.0 48.8 53.9 46.9	38.0 37.0 25.0 16.7 39.0 34.6 28.6	7.6 1.4 3.3 0 6.1 0
		Girls			
Ahmedabad Bangalore Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack Hyderabad Madras Nagpur Trivandrum	59 86 121 93 69 65 53	15.3 22.1 38.0 35.0 21.7 27.7 43.4	50.8 52.3 44.7 48.3 56.6 53.9 47.2	22.0 22.1 16.5 15.1 18.8 18.5 9.4	11.9 3.5 0.8 1.1 2.9
		Pooled			
Ahmedabad Bangalore Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack Hyderabad Madras Nagpur Trivandrum	138 159 241 153 151 117 102	10.9 20.8 31.1 30.7 13.2 20.5 34.3	48.5 47.8 46.1 52.9 52.4 53.9 47. 1	31.2 28.9 20.7 15.7 29.8 25.6 18.6	9.4 2.5 2.1 0.7 4.6 0

## Percent distribution of children (1-5 years) according to Gomez classification - urban slums

Hyderabad well-to-do values were used as standards

City/Town	No. surveyed	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe
		Boys			
Anmedabad Bangalore Bnubanesnwar/ Cuttak Hyderabad Madras Nagpur Trivandrum Ahmedabad Bangalore Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack Hyderabad Madras Nagpur Trivandrum	79 75 120 60 82 52 49 59 86 121 93 69 65 53	2.5 5.5 10.3 12.6 3.7 3.3 12.2 Girls 8.5 0 14.0 17.8 5.3 6.2 26.4	$\begin{array}{c} 29.1\\ 32.9\\ 45.0\\ 45.7\\ 25.5\\ 34.6\\ 47.0\\ 27.1\\ 41.9\\ 45.5\\ 45.0\\ 37.7\\ 41.5\\ 43.4 \end{array}$	54.5 57.5 38.4 39.2 61.0 53.9 36.7 40.7 50.0 33.9 35.2 52.2 50.8 26.4	13.9 4.1 5.8 2.5 9:8 7:9 4.1 23.7 8.1 6.6 2.0 4.3 1.5 3.S
		Pooled	l		
Ahmedabad Bangalore Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack Hyderabad Madras Nagpur Trivandrum	133 159 241 153	5.1 2.5 12.4 15.2 4.6 5.1 19.6	28.3 37.7 45.2 45.4 31.1 39.5 45.1	48.5 53.5 36.2 37.2 57.0 52.1 31.4	18.1 6.3 6.2 2.2 7.3 4.3 3.9

# Percent distribution of preschool children according to Gomez classification\* - Urban Slums

\* NCHS values were used as standards

	Slums				,			() 0	
	•••••			City'Town	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	* * * * * * * * * *			
	Ahmecz:z:	Sançalore	Shttal	2hupanesnwar/ Cuttack	-yceradac	"20735	Nagour	Trivendrum	Popleo
		****		Males	******	******	*******	}	
	113	75	ê7	83	113	115	144	130	862
(16.0	12.1	8.0	16.1	10.8	±,4	13.9	9.7	4.6	9.7
16.0 - 17.0	19.1	3.0	16.1	15.7	9.7	15.0	11.8	5.2	12.3
17.0 - 18.5	- 17.4	24.0	25.4	31.3	24.8	20.0	31.9	16.7	23.9
18.5 - 20.0	19.1	20.0	13.3	18.1	13.3	25.2	26.4	25.2	21.4
20.0 - 25.0	27.:	34.7	20.7	24.1	37.2	25.2	18.1	36.2	27.7
25.0 - 30.0	5.2	5.3	6.3	0	8.8	2.6	2.1	4.2	4.5
> 30.0	Ę	0	0.0	0	6.1	)	0	0.8	0,4
				Females					
ſ	251	220	153	219	269	240	208	340	1910
<14.0	10.0	5.9	14.7	10.5	9.3	15.0	14.9	4.7	10.1
16.0 - 17.0	7.2	7.7	8.ć	18.3	۰.7	10.8	12.5	3.5	9.3
17.0 - 18.5	18.3	17.7	17.8	27.8	17.1	15.0	24.5	14.1	18.7
18.5 - 20.0	22.7	22.3	17.8	21.9	10.8	29.0	17.3	13.8	i8.0
20.0 - 25.0	33.5	37.7	31.3	17.4	36.1	31.7	25.0	46.5	53.4
25.0 - 30.0	7.2	8.6	8.0	4.1	14.1	5.4	4.3	14.1	8.8
> 30.0	1.1	0	1.3	0	3.0	2.1	1.4	3.2	1.5
				Pooled					•
5	Jót	295	250	302	392	255	352	;70	
(16.0	10.7	6.4	15.2	10.6	7.8	14.6	12.8	:.7	10.0
16.0 - 17.0	10.9	7.8	11.2	17.5	9.7	11.6	12.2	4.3	19.3

Table-7 Distribution of Adults according to Body Mass index (BMI) - Urban Slums

17.0 - 18.5	18.0	19.5	20.8	28,8	19.4	16.6	27.6	14.9	20.3
18.5 - 20.0	21.6	21.7	16.4	20.9	- 11.5	21.7	21.0	12.1	19.0
20.0 - 25.0	31.4	37.0	27.6	19.2	36.4	29.5	22.2	43.6	31.6
25.0 - 30.0	6.5	7.9	7.5	3.0	12.5	4.5 %	3.4	:1.9	7.5
> 30.0	0.E	• • •	1.1	0	2.5	. 1	0.3	<u>^ :</u>	
> 7115	0.5	•	÷••	Ç	1.5		0.3	• • •	

	Poriod	Numbor		Nutriti	onal Graće	
City/Town	of Survey	Studied	Kormal	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Ahmedabad	1975-79 1993-94	219 138	0.9 5.1	23.7 28.3	54.8 48.5	20.6 18.1
Bangalore	1975-79 1993-94	251 159	2.0	29.1 37.7	52.2 53.5	16.7 6.3
Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack	1975-79 1993-94	241	12.4	Not 45.2	covered 36.2	6.2
Hyderabad	1975-79 1993-94	177 153	4.5 15.0	28.2 43.8	57.1 36.0	10.2 5.2
Madras	1975-79 1993-94	198 151	8.1 4.6	31.8 31.1	48.0 57.0	12.1 7.3
Nagpur	1975-79 1993-94	114 117	0.9 5.1	13.2 38.5	50.9 52.1	35.0
Trivandrum	1975-79 1993-94	114 102	4.4 19.6	41.2 45.1	41.2 31.4	13.2 3.9

# Percent Distribution of Preschool Children according to

Gomez Grades\* - Urban Slums

\* Standard : NCHS

Lable - 8

Percent distribution of deficiency signs among infants

		City/To	LW		
dabad	lyanga lore	Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack	Hyderabad	undfurfd	lrivandrum
24	49	18	46	95	97
71.7	98.0	100.0	Ĵ ()(), ()	19.7	100,0
0	Ċ	Ō	Ō	Ċ	Ō
0	Ō	Ú	Ó	6.9	Ũ
Ú	0	Q	Ō	<u>د.</u> م	Ċ
Ō	5)	Ō	Ō	0	0
Ō	Ō	Ō	Ċ	Ũ	Ú.

all cities.

Mume		<b>.</b>							in
				ion	17	spot			llrws
tri tor sordin		~	fa man	wi a tri	symmetr.	101 (s	yu kara marti k		
N	Nu	D.M.	(her	1: m.	Ыл	N i A	Ang Sto	: :	

City/TownNutritional disordersAhmedabad Bangalore Bhubaneshwar/ THYderabad CuttackCity/TownNumber138159241153Number138159241153Namber71.785.587.194.1Nam71.785.587.194.1Oedema0.00000Maciation0.702.10.70Marasmus0.70000Nitut's spots1.402.50Angular2.23.81.72.0Stomatitis2.23.81.72.0		Percent Preschoo	distributi l Children	on of deficien (B + G Pooled)	ıcy signs amo - Urban Slı	gric	
Nutritional disordersAhmedabad SangaloreBhubaneshwar/ LuttackHyderabad LuttackNumber138159241153Number138159241153NAD71.785.587.194.1NAD71.785.587.194.1Oedema0.0000Benaciation0.702.10.7Marasmus0.7000Nitut's spots1.402.50Angular2.23.81.72.0Stomatitis2.23.81.72.0				Ci	ty/Tawn		
Number       138       159       241       153         NAD       71.7       B5.5       B7.1       94.1         NAD       0.0       0       0       0         Dedema       0.0       0       0       0         Dedema       0.0       0       0       0         Materianus       0.7       0       2.1       0.7         Marasmus       0.7       0       0       0         Nitot's spots       1.4       0       2.5       0         Angular       2.2       3.8       1.7       2.0         Stomatitis       1.7       2.6       7.7       7.7	Nutritional A disorders	hmedabad	ßangalore	Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack	Hyderabad	Nagpur	Trivandrum
Number       138       159       241       153         NAD       71.7       85.5       87.1       94.1         Oedema       0.0       0       0       0       0         Dedema       0.0       0       0       0       0       0         Emaciation       0.7       0       2.1       0.7       0       0         Marasmus       0.7       0       2.1       0.7       0       0       0         Mitot's spots       1.4       0       2.5       0       0       0       0         Angular       2.2       3.8       1.7       2.0       3.4       3.4		х -				E E	
NAD     71.7     B5.5     B7.1     94.1       Dedema     0.0     0     0     0     0       Emaciation     0.7     0     2.1     0.7       Marasnus     0.7     0     2.1     0.7       Marasnus     0.7     0     2.1     0.7       Nitot's spots     1.4     0     2.5     0       Angular     2.2     3.8     1.7     2.0	Number	138	159	241	153	117	102
Oedema         0.0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         1 <th1< th=""> <th1< t<="" td=""><td>NAD</td><td>71.7</td><td>85.5</td><td>87.1</td><td>94.1</td><td>94</td><td>89.2</td></th1<></th1<>	NAD	71.7	85.5	87.1	94.1	94	89.2
Emaciation       0.7       0       2.1       0.7         Marasmus       0.7       0       0       0       0         Marasmus       0.7       0       0       0       0       0         Nitot's spots       1.4       0       2.5       0       0       0         Angular       2.2       3.8       1.7       2.0       2.4	Oedema	0.0	0	0	0	0	0
Marasmus 0.7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Emaciation	0.7	Ō	2.1	0.7	0	()
Nitut's spots 1.4 0 2.5 0 Angular 2.2 3.8 1.7 2.0 Stomatitis	Marasmus	0.7	0	0	0	o	0
Angular 2.2 3.8 1.7 2.0 Stomatitis	Nitot's spots	1.4	Û	2.5	Ō	0.9	Ō
	Angular Stomatitis	2.2	8 * M	1.7	2.0	2.6	2.9
	Caries	17.4	3.1	5.0	м <b>.</b> Х	3.4	5.9

10 I Table

			City/Taw			
Nutri tional disorders	Ahmedabad	Bangalore	Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack	Hyderabad	Nagpur	Trivandrum
Number	210	174	136	169	ං 125	64
NAI)	49.0	73.6	58.1	75.7	75.2	70.3
Dedema	0	0	O	0	0.	0
Emaciation	0	Û. b	0	0	0.8	0
Marasmus	0	Q	0	0	0	Ō
Bitot's spots	6.2	5.2	5.9	1.2	0	0.0
Angular Stomatitis	Ч. В ,	B. 6	3.7	5.9	4.0	0.0
Caries	32.4	6.3	27.2	18.9	21.6	28.1

Percent distribution of deficiency signs among
Adolescents ( B + G Pooled) - Urban Slums

_	_	
1.17	÷ ≥	
( E	)	
-	~ ~	
Ļ	נ'	
יר 7	۲ ۱	

	vandru m	30	7.7	C	5	8.	C	-	0	0.	o.
	т. Д.		9		-	0	C			0	26
	Nagpur	11 1	78.4	0	C	D	0	0	α -	0 • H	18.9
	Hyderabad	152	86.2	C	D	0	0	C	)	2.0	5.3
City/Town	Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack	42	88.1	C	D	0	0	C	5	2.4	7.1
	Bangalore	76	81.6	C	D	0	0	C	)	1.3	2.6
	Ahmedabad	168	67.3	C	D	0	0	α	) • †	3.0	22.6
	Nutritional disorders			NAD	Oedema	Emaciation	Marasmus	Bitot's spots	Angular	Stomatitis	Caries

among Percent distribution of d*efici*ency signs Adults (M + F) Pooled - Urban Slums

		City/Taw	ç		
abad	Bangalore	Bhubaneshwar/ Cuttack	Hyderabad	Nagpur	Trivandrum
4	265	285	022	313	414
Ħ	82.3	92.6	76.7	69.0	60.1
Ó	O	O	Q	O	0
0	0	Ō	Q	0	0.5
ы	. 1 - 1	Ō	0.6	0	0.2
ហ	1.1	2.1	1.2	1.0	0.2
দ	0°0	<b>ព</b> ស	13.9	29.1	35.7

e Dam An NN N 69. 28. ċ **м** Bitot's spots Nutritional Angular Stomatitis Emaciation disorders Numbuer Oedema Caries -----**UAD** 

lable - La	Tab]	Le -	• 13
------------	------	------	------

							\$ \$	
Poc Gro	ulation ups	Survey Pericd	Number	NAD	Maras- mus	Bitot spots	Angular stomatitis	Caries
1.	Infants	1975-79 1993-94	217 130	92.2 96.7	3.7 0.6	-		-
2.	Preschcolers	.1975-79 1993-94	932 754	72.3 86.5	2.4 0.1	3.0 0.7	7.7	0.9 6.5
3.	Schoolage children	1975-79 1993-94	1254 910	63.3 63.7	- 3-	3.8 3.7	15.7 6.4	10.3 23.2
4.	Adolescent ` children	1975-79 1993-94	1271 758	72.2 74.1	- -	2.5 0.4	8.9 2.1	5.8 16.4
5.	Adults	1975-79 1993-94	2343 1874	66.8 73.5		1.2 0.4	4.6 1.0	3.8 21.0

Comparison of clinical prevalence rates

(Pooled Data for Six Cities -

Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Hyderabad, Nagpur, Trivandrum

A N N	EXURE-II	

### MEAN ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS - URBAN SLUMS

CITY/TOWN : AHMEDABAD

### SEX : MALES

\_\_\_\_\_

AGE		HEIGH	T (cm)	WEIGHT	I (kg)	ARM CI	:R. (cm)	FFT	(mn)
(Yrs)	N	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	Mean	SD	MEAN	s⊃
0-1	15	66.3	6.58	7.1	1.74	13.6	1.39	12.5	2.06
1-2	14	71.3	5.63	8.0	1.27	13.7	0.34	10.9	2.11
2-3	19	81.0	5.10	9.4	1.54	14.0	0.93	10.3	2.42
a <sup>3-4</sup>	23	87.1	4.87	10.9	1.39	14.5	1.53	10.4	2.48
4 - 5	, 23	95.8	4.67	13.0	1.72	15.1	1.14	9.3	2.42
05	18	99.5	6.36	13.7	1.93	14.5	1.41	8.1	2.80
06	17	107.0	4.56	15.9	2.14	15.2	1.51	6.7	3.95
07	18	111.8	5.37	16.7	2.14	14.9	0.94	7.4	2.15
08	22	115.4	7.33	17.8	2.13	15.6	1.15	7.3	1.57
09	17	120.6	7.51	19.4	3.21	15.6	1.50	7.3	1.69
10	14	124.7	9.09	22.3	4.20	16.8	1.34	8.9	3.01
11	9	129.5	6.91	25.2	6.27	17.0	2.20	8.0	3.32
12	11	135.6	5.06	26.0	3.20	17.2	2.16	8.9	1.97
13	10	136.1	8.52	26.4	5.91	17.6	1.34	8.4	2.17
14	13	154.0	9.37	37.1	6.59	20.3	1.90	9.2	2.44
15	10	149.3	11.10	35.8	9.69	19.5	2.39	8.3	1.70
16	4	166.5	5.11	45.7	6.59	22.7	2.07	11.0	2.94
17	3	164.7	6.53	49.4	6.99	23.9	1.01	10.7	3.51
18	5	167.9	2.32	46.6	5.06	22.8	1.51	9.3	0.84
19	3	157.1	5.31	39.8	2.61	21.7	1.10	8.3	2.52
20-24	19	163.2	3.93	48.9	5.01	24.1	1.72	8.9	3.53
25-29	16	160.1	6.35	48.7	7.05	23.9	2.27	10.5	4.80
30-34	14	162.5	6.55	50.3	10.96	22.6	7.29	9.4	5.75
35-39	18	164.5	6.85	55.2	7.92	25.3	2.17	11.3	4.73

	-	-					<b>_</b>		
>=50	7	157.7	7.09	46.5	7.49	23.0	2.49	10.4	2.94
55-59	5	158.2	3.36	51.3	9.40	23.9	1.76	10.7	4.32
50-E4	5	158.5	6.32	45.3	9.13	22.6	3.73	11.5	4.94
45-49	- 3	157.2	3.11	48.3	12.10	23.9	3.51	9.3	5.54
40-44	14	160.7	5.74	50.9	11.69	24.3	3.57	9.9	4.99

# II. MEAN ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS - URBAN SLUMS

CITY/TOWN : AHMEDABAD

SEX : FEMALES

15

AGE		HEIGHT	(cm)	WEIGHT	(kg)	ARM CI	R. (cm)	FFT (	mm)
(Yrs)	N	XEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD
6-1	ç	£1.3	5.23	5.8	1.13	12.7	1.80	9.7	2.92
1-1	13	E9.9	5.59	6.8	1.54	13.0	2.12	9.3	3.07
2-3	16	79.6	4.81	9.6	1.41	14.1	0.85	11.0	2.25
3-4	13	83.8	6.07	10.4	1.39	14.2	0.92	11.5	2.44
4-5	17	94.3	7.33	12.4	2.05	14.9	1.12	10.5	1.77
05	14	95.8	6.50	13.0	1.95	14.8	0.88	10.1	1.51
06	23	104.0	6.07	15.1	2.19	15.3	1.11	9.8	2.87
<b>C</b> 7	11	111.0	4.68	16.3	2.22	15.4	1.56	8.0	1.90
DΞ	8	116.6	5.56	19.0	2.08	16.0	1.02	9.0	1.77
05	16	121.2	6.14	20.0	3.40	15.2	4.39	10.1	3.02
10	17	127.4	5.45	21.2	3.52	15.4	4.09	9.8	3.00
::	6	134.2	8.51	25.9	6.58	17.3	2.98	6.5	4.14
12	15	135.1	11.59	26.6	8.86	18.6	2.01	10.4	2.06
13	10	140.5	6.97	31.1	6.51	19.6	2.38	9.6	2.32
14	15	146.4	8.65	35.5	5.86	21.0	1.79	12.0	2.80
15	14	144.6	4.79	38.5	5.76	21.7	1.89	13.7	2.56
l€	9	147.8	4.66	40.5	7.42	22.4	2.81	13.8	3.27
17	12	149.1	5.47	40.3	5.32	21.9	1.81	12.9	3.15
18	11	151.2	3.81	40.4	6.74	21.6	1.74	13.1	3.75
19	7	146.6	6.94	37.4	4.44	21.8	1.51	12.3	2.06
20-24	41	150.0	6.54	44.0	7.09	23.4	2.23	14.2	4.34
25-29	49	149.6	4.69	44.4	7.96	23.3	2.97	13.3	4.79
30-34	F 49	148.5	≨ 5.57 <u>5</u> 0	43.7	7.33	23.3	2.34	13.8	4.98
35-39	27	149.6	6.23	42.6	5.08	23.4	2.14	13.8	4.79
40-44	24	150.3	5.79	47.1	8.02	24.7	2. <b>72</b>	14.5	4.12
45-49	13	148.6	4.70	48.7	11.19	25.3	3.51	15.9	6.10
30-54	13	150.5	5.67	46.6	10.07	24.3	3.20	15.0	5.70
55-59	8	148.5	3.81	43.8	8.05	23.8	2.33	11.3	3.69
>≖60	9	145.5	5.74	45.9	7.93	23.4	3.86	15.7	3.08

# III. MEAN ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS - URBAN SLUMS

CITY/TOWN : BHUBANESWAR

SEX : MALES

AGE		HEIGH'	I (cm)	WEIGH	I (kg)	ARM C	IR. (cm)	FFT	(mm)
(Yrs)	21	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	so	MEAN	SD
0-1	13	63.9	6.94	6.5	1.57	12.2	1.19	2.5	0.25
1-2	29	74.1	5.31	8.5	1.46	12.4	1.04	2.7	0.34
2 - 3	37	83.5	5.28	10.5	1.99	13.3	1.10	2.7	0.34
3 - 4	25	90.3	5.36	12.0	1.73	13.0	1.09	2.5	0.43
4 - 5	29	96.6	5.38	13.8	1.70	13.4	0.81	3.0	0.41
05	11	97.5	4.42	14.0	2.41	13.6	0.89	3.1	0.51
06	12	105.6	5.08	15.9	2.14	13.7	1.04	3.0	0.25
07	21	106.9	5.71	16.5	1.78	14.0	1.46	3.0	0.42
08	8	118.6	8.02	19.7	3.67	14.4	1.29	3.3	0.65
09	4	119.3	5.18	20.9	1.41	15.0	0.59	, 3 , 3	0.50
10	5	123.3	2.70	21.8	1.84	14.7	0.30	3.7	0.34
11	5	129.8	5.87	24.2	3.29	15.6	0.43	3.3	0.27
12	. 4	134.1	7.10	26.3	4.19	16.4	1.02	3.9	1.44
13	3	140.9	3.69	29.7	3.59	16.9	1.53	3.7	0.75
14	2	150.1	1.84	33.8	0.35	18.1	1.27	• 4.3	1.05
15	1	165.7	****	44.0	****	19.4	****	4.5	
16	2	156.6	9.26	43.5	10.61	20.5	0.99	4.5	0.71
17	0	* * * * *	****	****	****	****	****	****	* * * * *
18	2	147.6	10.54	37.9	7.21	20.5	3.61	5.3	1.06
19	0	****	****	****	****	*****	****	* * * * -	*****
20-24	6	157.7	8.67	49.3	7.36	22.5	2.41	7.0	3.18
25-29	17	159.2	7.18	47.7	5.87	22.9	1.58	7.2	2.55
30-34	17	160.5	5.77	49.0	7.61	22.3	1.49	6.9	2.08
35-39	22	160.8	8.10	47.9	8.57	22.3	2.57	6.3	1.83
40-44	5	155.9	5.32	42.0	4.30	20.4	1.63		1.04
45-49	5	160.2	6.59	41.8	4.14	19.8	1.47	4.5	1.47
50-54	_:	167.5	****	47.0	*****	20.6	*****	Ξ.Ο	****
55-59	2	153.1	4.10	39.7	5.44	20.2	0.57	4.3	3.71
>=60	5	150.2	6.59	45.3	5.71	20.2	1.95	4.3	1.19

### CITY TOMN : BHUBANESWAR

#### SEX : FEMALES

age (YZS)	<b>、</b> •	HEIGHT (cm)		WEIGHT	WEIGHT (kg)		ARM CIR. cm)		Bana ya
	-•	)]]A];	SD	MEAN	SD	<u>NTA:</u>	52	XEAX	SD
0-1	1:	£1.7	6.99	£.0	1.36	11.8		2.5	C.39
2-2	30	74.3	5.50	8.2	1.15		1.11	2.5	C.36
2-3	29	81.8	5.91	10.1	2.24	12.5	1.03	2.7	C.39
3-4	34	90.8	6.36	11.8	1.56	13.0	1.18	2.9	0.31
4-5	19	96.9	4.97	13.0	1.44	13.2	G.67	3.0	C.63
05	÷	99.3	6.12	13.8	1.48	13.0	6.82	2.9	6.17
06	15	102.9	6.41	14.4	2.57	13.3	1.00	2.9	0.32
07	10	, 111.9	7.32	17.8	2.06	14.3	1.02	3.2	0.42
60	13	115.3	7.92	17.8	2.08	14.5	C.94	3.2	0.38
09	12	116.6	9.75	19.5	3.41	14.8	1.48	3.3	0.58
10	÷	122.5	6.91	20.7	3.29	15.3	1.11	3.1	0.48
	7	133.8	4.90	25.3	2.26	16.1	0.95	3.5	0.71
12	÷	145.3	7.15	32.8	6.10	18.0	2.24	3.8	0.29
13	2	137.0	0.29	25.3	1.70	17.1	C.14	3.5	0.00
14	:	144.3	****	33.9	****	19.6	*****	4.5	****
15	2	143.8	6.72	33.5	7.42	19.1	2.12	4.8	1.77
16	3	148.4	3.11	40.1	1.91	21.1	1.15	5.2	2.44
• <del>-</del>	-	155.8	****	53.8	****	21.8	*****	8.5	****
18	0	*****	*****	*****	****	*****	****	*****	****
19	2	146.5	2.12	35.4	0.57	18.0	1.13	4.3	0.35
20-24	54	149.2	5.57	<b>40.9</b> :	5.13	<b>20.3</b> /	1.90	5.4	1.03
25-29		150.4	5.360	41.3	5.69	20.4	1.78 E	5.5	1.59
30-34	÷3	151.6	5.30	43.1	7.51	21.0	1.95	5.7	1.60
35-39	16	151,4	7.21	41.1	6.68	20.2	1.66	5.5	1.02
40-44	÷	151.2	5.75	41.5	6.09	20.6	2.08	5.3	0.65
45-49	2	152.4	3.32	48.8	0.21	22.0	1.70	5.5	0.71
50-34	÷	145.9	5.17	50.1	3.33	23.1	2.05	7.1	2.66
55-59	÷	149.6	2.13	38.1	2.16	20.5	0.38	5.1	0.85
>=60	16	149.0	6.57	44.9	10.19	22.2	2.68	7.7	3.89

	ν.	MEAN	ANTHROP	OMETRIC	MEASUREM	IENTS –	URBAN	SLUMS			
CI	TY/TOWN :	Y/TOWN : HYDERABAD SEX : MALES									
AGE		HEIGHT	(cm)	WEIGHT	(kg)	ARM CIR	. (cm)	FT	(		
(Yrs)	N	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	so	Mean	SD.		
0-1	29	64.4	5.57	6.5	1.75	12.6	1.03	9.2	2.13		
1-2	16	75.4	4.38	9.1	1.38	13.6	0.92	8.8	1.33		
2-3	18	84.6	4.20	11.2	1.39	14.2	1.18	.9.0	1.47		
3 - 4	17.	91.3	2.55	12.2	1.01	14.5	0.82	9.0	1.76		
4-5	9	94.4	5.25	12.9	1.23	14.0	1.11	7.9	2.57		
05	12	101.2	6.71	14.8	1.73	14.4	0.79	6.8	1.30		
06	12	109.9	3.63	16.8	1.95	15.1	1.05	6.7	1.37		
07	21	113.2	7.38	18.2	2.98	15.1	0.84	6.5	2.38		
08	15	121.7	7.24	20.5	3.32	16.2	2.51	6.5	1.45		
09	8	124.9	4.15	22.5	2.45	16.0	1.30	6.5	0.96		
10	16	131.2	8.26	23.8	4.12	16.6	1.19	6.5	2.16		
11	5	130.5	6.93	25.6	3.85	17.1	1.29	6.2	3.82		
12	12	143.1	8.33	30.6	5.05	18.5	1.74	7.1	1.92		
13	8	140.3	8.53	29.6	5.75	18.0	1.85	7.6	1,50		
14	4	164.4	1.98	41.4	4.94	20.7	2.55	7.0	2.35		
15	6	160.4	1.86	40.7	3.39	21.2	1.48	5.9	0.53		
16	3	155.8	4.82	48.0	4.48	24.1	2.76	10.5	3.97		
17	3	162.2	4.87	50.5	8.40	24.9	2.10	7.5	2.29		
18	2	163.3	10.25	48.2	3.39	26.4	1.98	7.0	2.12		
19	5	169.1	2.99	50.7	1.37	23.9	1.77	7.1	1.78		
20-24	23	163.8	6.16	54.3	9.32	25.6	3.16	9.8	5.41		
25-29	12	166.7	5.30	56.6	12.78	25.9	3.37	9.6	5.63		

30-34	12	163.7	6.72	53.8	11.04	25.6	3.81	9.6	4.90
35-39	20	165.0	5.39	54.1	9.32	25.1	2.72	9.1	4.31
40-44	9	164.7	6.24	56.2	7.14	26.4	1.70	9.8	5.15
45-49	5	164.5	7.69	50.2	4.51	23.5	1.95	5.9	0.57
50-54	6	165.0	3.55	67.2	13.52	27.0	2.31	16.9	7.55
55-59	4 -	- 162.9	5.70	57.2	10,76	25.9	2.39	10.8	5.38
>=60	15	161.9	7.32	53.4	8.35	24.3	2.53	9.6	4.06
VI. MEAN ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS - URBAN SLUMS

\_\_\_\_

CITY TOWN : HYDERABAD

- . ---

SEX : FEMALES

AGE		HEIGHT (cm)		WEIGHT	WEIGHT (kg)		R. (cm)	FFT (mm)	
(Ers)	**	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	XEAN	SD
0-1	÷7	62.3	5.25	6.0	1.52	12.7	1.05	9.6	2.19
1-2	15	74.3	4.86	8.4	2.05	13.0	C.45	8.0	1.41
2 - 3	28	83.0	5.25	10.1	1.59	13.7	0.90	8.5	1.37
3-4	. 27	87.9	5.12	12.1	1.53	14.3	0.85	9.4	1.86
4 ~ 5	1 23	94.0	6.06	12.5	1.50	14.2	0.38	8.2	1.57
05	14	104.6	4.05	15.3	1.90	14.6	0.36	7.0	1.47
06	12	106.7	4.00	16.2	1.94	15.1	1.07	8.4	2.62
07	11	112.5	6.72	17.7	3.10	15.2	1.34	7.0	1.10
08	16	117.1	5.79	18.8	3.10	15.4	1.49	8.3	2.09
09	10	124.5	8.74	22.7	4.56	17.0	1.51	8.4	1.42
10	13	126.0	9.72	23.3	5.42	17.2	2.64	8.3	3.29
11	4	134.3	7.59	26.1	2.09	17.1	1.08	8.8	2.90
12	16	141.4	8.78	30.3	6.18	18.7	1.84	8.9	1.89
13	9	145.9	8.95	36.7	5.85	21.7	1.89	11.6	4.31
14	5	149.9	8.55	39.5	3.94	21.1	3.46	9.6	2.70
15	12	148.5	6.93	38.8	8.06	21.1	2.51	12.0	4.50
16	16	148.5	6.74	38.7	4.47	21.2	1.90	12.0	3.88
17	6	155.8	7.72	41.4	6.09	21.8	1.56	12.3	5.89
18	18	153.5	5.51	46.4	8.37	23.0	2.89	12.9	4.57
19	10	155.0	9.73	45.9	8.03	23.3	2.74	12.5	4.97
20-24	65	152.0	6.33	44.5	7.99	22.5	2.61	11.7	4.71
25-29	50	151.8	5.74	47.7	10.71	24.2	3.70	ي <b>14.0</b>	5.80
30-34	30	152.0	6.40	50.3	9.47	24.5	3.49	13.8	5.27
35-39	20	153.3	5.51	50.5	11.57	25.0	3.60	15.0	4.96
40-44	20	151.1	5.55	54.0	13.98	26.4	4.41	17.2	6.48

45-49	16	150.7	7.02	54.1	13.51	26.3	4.37	15.0	4.36
50-54	13	149.1	5.01	48.9	10.53	24.7	3.73	14.9	6.44
55-59	3	156.4	1.97	56.2	5.33	26.6	0.72	19.0	1.73
>=60	24	148.4	7.92	47.0	8.15	24.4	2.55	13.7	5.09

	VII.	MEAN	ANTH	IROPOMETRIC	MEASU	JREMENTS		URBAN	SI	JUMS
CII	FY/TOWN	: MADRAS						SEX :	MALE	S
AGE		HEIGHT	(cm)	WEIGHT	(kg)	ARM CIR	.(cm)		FFT	( mm )
(Yrs)	Ν							-		
(110)		MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD		MEAN	I SD
0-1	21	66.7	5.71	6.4	1.48	13.9	1.34		6.3	2.10
1-2	13	75.1	2.45	7.8	1.00	14.1	1.23		6.3	1.87
2-3	20	84.3	5.57	9.7	1.63	14.8	1.11		7.1	1.57
3-4	22	90.1	7.10	11.2	2.33	14.8	1.22		7.5	1.37
4-5	27	95.8	7.80	12.8	1.93	15.2	1.24		7.8	1.04
05	13	100.9	3.06	14.0	1.22	15.6	0.95		7.5	1.33
06	12	107.6	4.88	15.4	1.68	15.4	0.74		7.3	0.87
07	14	111.1	4.62	16.6	1.53	15.8	0.90		6.8	0.97
08	12	117.4	5.06	19.0	2.15	16.2	1.01		6.8	2.53
09	13	123.4	7.81	19.7	2.31	15.0	4.63		6.9	3.40
10	12	122.9	11.72	20.3	4.97	16.6	1.71		7.1	1.00
11	22	128.8	6.72	22.9	3.01	17.6	1.18		6.4	0.91
12	12	134.1	4.75	24.6	1.69	18.2	0.84		6.9	1.00
13	16	139.0	6.17	27.9	3.50	18.9	1.09		6.8	1.18
14	6	144 .2	5.75	32.8	4.88	19.5	1.97		7.2	1.60
15	11	147.0	7.06	32.9	4.19	19.9	1.87		6.4	1.12
16	9	156.3	5.97	40.7	5.78	22.1	2.36		7.4	3.84
17	3	152.7	5.37	41.7	6.33	23.3	2.52		8.0	1.73
18	9	159.4	13.20	44.4	11.23	22.9	2.96		5.7	1.41
19	6	164.5	7.29	46.5	5.37	23.3	1.72		5.8	1.94
20-24	18	165.9	4.84	50.6	7.95	24.6	3.12		6.3	1.49
25-29	21	160.6	8.13	48 .1	8.53	23.4	2.14		6.1	2.06
30-34	15	164.9	4.39	53.6	8.77	25.8	3.71		7.8	2.08
35-39	9	156.8	9.05	50.4	5.78	24.4	2.23		7.6	1.74
40-44	12	161.1	5.96	49.4	7.18	24.3	1.76		7.3	2.10
45-49	9	158.5	7.28	49.7	6.96	24.7	2.40		9.6	4.13

50-54	5	156.8	9.64	44.0	7.52	23.0	1.53	10.4	6.95
55-59	6	161.8	4.62	49.6	6.28	24.9	2.46	6.8	1.33
>=60	5	160.3	9.01	45.8	4.42	22.7	2.56	7.4	2.70

## VIII. MEAN ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS - URBAN SLUMS

## CITY/TOWN : MADRAS

## SEX : FEMALES

AGE	N	HEIGHT	( cm )	WEIGHT	(kg)	ARM	CIR.(cm)	FFT	( mm )
(Yrs)		MEAN SD				MEAN SD		MEAN SI	)
0-1	26	66.2	8.62	5.6	1.53	13.5	1.30	5.3	1.51
1-2	13	76.2	3.07	8.3	0.94	14.3	0.93	6.8	1.42
2-3	17	85.1	6.48	10 .1	1.31	14 .9	0.83	7.2	2.44
3-4	19	86.6	5.36	10.5	1.34	15.0	0.93	7.6	1.81
4-5	20	95.6	5.52	12.1	1.38	15.3	0.70	8.6	1.43
05	19	100.9	7.42	13.1	1.89	15.3	0.87	8.1	1.93
06	15	104.6	3.89	14.4	1.81	14.6	3.83	7.7	0.98
07	18	110.3	5.61	15.7	2.29	15.6	0.89	7.3	0.96
08	10	115.5	5.87	18.2	2.67	16.8	1.07	7.6	0.97
09	9	119.8	4.23	19.0	1.20	16.9	0.62	7.4	1.13
10	12	128.3	6.33	22.5	3.55	17.3	1.47	6.8	1.27
11	10	134.2	4.94	24.9	2.99	18.0	0.91	7.5	1.18
12	5	131.9	6.12	24.5	3.77	18.0	1.27	7.2	0.45
13	7	140.4	2.44	30.0	3.98	19.2	1.73	7.9	1.07
14	7	150.4	5.30	37.9	6.41	21.6	1.99	8.6	2.94
15	3	147.2	5.51	42.2	6.29	23.3	1.53	11.0	1.73
16	6	149.4	5.32	38.7	3.66	22.0	1.90	9.7	1.63
17	6	152.7	7.54	41.5	5.22	22.8	1.33	8.3	1.51
18	11	151.3	8.47	43 .0	5.21	23.5	1.96	9.2	2.04
19	12	153.0	9.09	43.8	9.68	22.6	2.44	9.1	2.54
20-24	53	150.8	5.47	42.3	6.82	22.3	2.45	9.0	3.26
25-29	52	150.7	6.54	44.3	7.83	24.5	9.61	10.6	9.23
30-34	28	151.5	5.63	43.0	7.01	22.8	2.59	9.4	3.86
35-39	24	149.1	6.37	49.1	12.08	25.1	4.36	12.8	6.11
40-44	12	149.5	4.54	47.9	9.40	24.7	3.22	10.3	3.08
45-49	15	152.1	6.03	45.1	11.77	23.5	4.27	10.3	5.15

50-54	16	147.6	4.76	45.3	13.47	23.8	3.46	11.8	5.38
55-59	5	146.3	5.00	40.4	2.82	22.7	1.10	10.2	2.59
>=60	12	148.5	3.75	45.0	7.15	23.3	3.17	10.3	3.89

	IX.	MEAN	ANTHR	OPOMETRIC	MEASU	REMENTS	-	URBAN SL	<b>MS</b>
CII	ry/town	N : TRIVA	NDRUM					SEX : MAL	ES
AGE		HEIGHT	[ (cm)	WEIGHT	(kg)	ARM CI	IR.(cm)	FFT (	mm )
(Yrs)	Ν								
		-	-	MEAN	SD	MEA	n Sd	MEAN	SD
0-1	17	65.2	5.46	6.4	1.46	13.0	1.41	10.4	3.08
1-2	12	77.3	4.14	9.8	1.53	13.8	0.89	12.0	3.08
2-3	11	83.8	3.17	10.6	1.38	14.4	0.95	11.7	2.50
3-4	14	90.3	4.77	11.4	2.18	14.6	1.39	11.4	2.70
4-5	12	99.8	8.77	13.8	1.27	14.9	1.09	10.7	3.61
05	14	102.7	5.96	14.6	1.99	14.8	1.32	10.5	1.76
06	1	104.0	* * * * *	18.0	* * * * *	15.0	* * * * *	11.0	* * * * *
07	5	113.1	7.87	15.1	2.61	14.1	0.70	8.8	1.61
08	5	114.8	4.98	16.4	2.97	14.8	0.50	10.2	0.79
09	0	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *
10	10	126.5	7.32	22.4	2.50	16.7	1.21	9.9	3.24
11	4	131.1	6.09	27.0	2.45	16.7	1.78	9.8	2.99
12	6	134.8	4.86	27.3	2.16	20.8	5.09	9.7	1.49
13	2	154.5	10.61	37.5	4.95	20.4	0.57	11.6	1.41
14	5	153.2	8.84	36.8	5.54	18.4	1.69	8.6	0.55
15	5	156.9	10.71	46.2	8.73	22.7	2.77	13.4	3.25
16	5	161.2	7.50	44.8	4.49	22.5	1.31	11.5	2.33
17	8	159.6	3.62	45.8	4.60	23.4	1.01	11.2	2.77
18	4	161.1	3.47	47.3	5.06	23.1	1.00	11.4	4.80
19	4	162.8	2.79	50.5	3.70	24.3	1.45	10.0	1.41
20-24	24	163.2	6.78	51.0	6.62	24.9	2.48	10.6	4.05
25-29	19	162.9	8.11	57.4	10.50	27.0	3.02	12.5	4.61
30-34	13	160.0	7.78	53.3	6.53	27.8	4.63	12.8	5.45
35-39	17	161.3	5.82	54.2	9.33	25.7	2.75	10.4	3.30
40-44	9	164.4	4.23	52.1	5.01	25.9	1.89	9.6	4.12

45-49	10	163 .1	7.08	59.2	11.80	26.9	3.85	14 .0	6.44
50-54	б	160.3	3.06	51.6	9.02	24.3	2.85	13.3	6.50
55-59	11	159.3	3.26	49.5	5.96	25.3	2.02	10.1	3.25
>=60	13	157.7	4.49	50.3	11.37	24.1	2.98	10.4	3.20

## CITY/TOWN : TRIVANDRUM

		HEIGHT	' (cm)	WEIGHT	(kg)	ARM CI	R.(cm)	FFT (n	ım )
ACE	Ν	MEAN	I SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	I SD	MEAN	SD
0-1	9	64 .0	7.68	6.7	1.57	13.1	1.53	12 .7	2.18
1-2	16	75.1	3.88	9.4	1.76	13 .8	1.25	12.8	2.97
2-3	16	81.6	4.64	10.2	1.41	14.5	1.22	13 .1	3.14
3-4	10	87.3	5.70	11.7	1.64	14.9	1.03	11.6	3.23
4-5	11	98.5	4.95	13.7	2.25	15.6	1.82	15.1	3.36
05	9	100.6	6.80	13.4	1.59	14.9	1.10	12.7	2.50
06	2	114.3	0.35	17.0	1.41	14.8	0.78	14 .6	0.57
07	2	118.2	14.42	19.5	4.95	16.4	2.26	10.5	0.71
08	2	118.2	5.87	18.0	4.24	15.8	1.41	11.0	1.41
09	2	120.7	3.32	23.3	3.18	16.2	0.28	9.0	4.24
10	5	127.2	8.08	23.2	4.15	16.2	2.15	9.6	1.34
11	3	131.4	4.56	24.3	2.08	17.3	0.99	11.6	1.48
12	4	137.9	4.79	27.5	3.79	17.4	2.45	11.5	2.52
13	8	147.0	6.97	35.8	5.99	19.8	2.25	13 .0	3.96
14	5	142.5	8.19	33.6	4.98	20.4	2.22	12.8	3.70
15	4	156.9	2.73	48.0	8.29	23.4	2.74	17.2	5.74
16	11	150.6	6.66	41.1	7.71	22.3	2.37	15.4	4.98
17	10	151.5	6.02	44.4	3.34	23.3	1.66	18.9	5.55
18	16	149.1	5.83	44.3	9.05	23.3	3.07	17.9	5.79
19	15	152.3	7.12	47.2	7.24	23.9	2.14	18.3	3.57
20-24	62	151.3	5.92	47.5	7.26	24.1	2.69	17.8	5.65
25-29	61	152.5	6.21	48.7	8.55	24.9	3.59	18.	5.43
30-34	51	149.5	5.13	50.5	10.49	25.6	3.57	18.2	6.07
35-39	39	150.5	6.01	52.1	6.78	26.1	2.70	19.6	5.38
40-44	20	148 .1	5.46	55.8	10.11	27.4	3.68	21.5	6.08

45-45	19	147.6	4.72	48.6	8.55	25.3	2.93	18.9	4.61
50-54	9	147.0	6.19	48.1	8.22	25.1	2.59	19.4	4.99
55-59	15	149.2	6.54	46.4	11.97	24.4	3.66	17.4	5.09
>=60	28	145.3	6.87	43.4	10.45	24.4	5.88	16.0	6.36