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Appendix

In 1972, the Indian Council of Medical Research had set up the National Nutrition Monitoring Bureau (NNMB) at the National Institute of Nutrition, with the following objectives:

1. To collect diet and nutritional information from representative segments of the population by using standard methods of survey.
2. To conduct evaluation of on-going national nutrition programmes.

In fulfilment of it's first objective, the Bureau, through its ten state units; one each in Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal has been undertaking nutritional surveys in rural and urban segments of population on continuous basis. The results of the rural surveys have been published as Annual Reports of NNMB (1974 to 1981). In this report the results of urban surveys are presented. The main objective of the urban surveys was to obtain informations on patterns of food and nutrient consumption and nutritional status of certain specific segments of population, who
usually reside in big cities of the states where NNMB units are located. For operational feasibility, the surveys were restricted to NNMB headquarters and cities/townships in their neighbourhood. The specific groups selected for the purpose were White collar workers (Civil servants) of 3 distinct economic categories namely, the high, middle and low income groups; Industrial labourers and Slum dwellers.

Considering the time frame, staff available in each state, and its working pattern *, it was planned to cover a fixed sample of fifty households from each of the above five selected croups every year so that over a period of time, adequate data base will be built up in respect of each of the socio-economic group, capable of providing a fair picture of their diet and nutritional status. In other words, the objective of urban surveys in NNMB was limited to capture a cross-section of important socio-economic/occupation groups found invariably in most of the urban settings and to study their diet and nutritional profiles. Further the surveys in each state were spread over the entire period of the year and no attempts were made to adjust for seasonal variations. *Areas surveyed
*The names of cities/towns and their location where surveys were conducted are given below (Map).

| Names of cities/towns covered | State |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trivandrum and Cochin | Kerala |
| Madras | Tamil Nadu |
| Bangalore and Mysore | Karnataka |
| Hyderabad | Andhra Pradesh |
| Nagpur and Pune | Maharashtra |

[^1]| Names of cities/towns covered | State |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ahmedabad | Gujarat |
| Bhopal | Madhya Pradesh |
| Bhubaneswar and Cuttack | Orissa |
| Calcutta | West Bengal |
| Lucknow and Kanpur | Uttar Pradesh |

The specific socio-economic groups and the occupational categories surveyed are given below.

1. High Income Group (HIG) : Top officials like , Secretaries, Deputy Secretaries, Directors, Professors etc.
2. Middle Income Group : Office Superintendents, Section (MIG) Officers, Assistant Secretaries, Assistant Professors, Lecturers etc.
3. Low Income Group (LIG) : Class IV employees like Office Peons, Drivers, Helpers etc.
4. Industrial Labourers : Labourers from any organised (IL)
5. Slums (SL)
: Households from big slums of the city.

Sampling Procedure:
In each state, the team attempted to cover 250
households every year. The samples were drawn in such a way that fifty households from each of the HIG, MIG and LIG of White collar workers, Industrial labourer and Slum dwellers were included. Every year one or two localities of each of the above identified groups was selected randomly and the
fixed sample of 50 households from each of the group was drawn on random basis. The sample size was fixed on an arbitrary basis.

Investigations:

In all the selected households following investigations were carried out.

1. Food consumption surveys.
2. Assessment of Nutritional Status:
a) Clinical
b) Anthropometry

Dietary intake was assessed by weighment as well as by oral questionnaire (24. hr. recall) method of diet surveys. It was conducted for three consecutive days. The three day weighment method which was conducted on 20 households (out of 50 HH ) in each of the socio-economic group, provided information on consumption at household level, while the 24 hr . recall method (carried out on the remaining 30 households) gave information on consumption at the individual level.

Assessment of clinical nutritional status and anthropometry (consisting of body weight, height, arm circumference and fat fold at triceps measurements) of all the available individuals from the sampled households was carried out in accordance with the standard protocc developed for NNMB Surveys (Appendix I).

Coverage
The coverage of different income groups by period in different cities is indicated in Table . 1 . During the period a total of 8207 households were covered under diet surveys and about 32 , 500 individuals were examined for their nutritional status.

Table 2 presents the distribution of households surveyed according to the five socio-economic groups, namely the HIG, MIG and LIG of white collar workers, Industrial labourers and Slum dwellers. According to the sampling protocol described (under sampling procedure) the coverage of households in each economic/occupational group should have been uniform but it was not so. The reasons for this was both administrative and operational. Administrative difficulties like, the staff, particularly the medical officers not being in position due to high turnover rate, and non-availability of transport etc., have been the main causes. Further, persistent difficulties faced by the teams in obtaining the desired cooperation from HIG households, particularly for diet surveys, necessitated the decision (Minutes of NNMB Annual Review Meeting, 1978) to discontinue the coverage of BIG households from the year 1979.

Functioning of the regional unit of NNMB in Orissa state was started only from the year 1978. As such the coverage in the cities of Orissa had been particularly low, compared
to other areas.
Food and Nutrient consumption:

Using the method of weighment of raw food for 3 days, the average consumption of different foodstuffs for 3 days in each of the five socio-economic groups were assessed and expressed as gm/cu/day.

The appropriate calorie coefficients suggested by the ICMR Expert Committee on Nutrition for different age, sex, activity and physiological status groups were used for this analysis (Appendix. ) It may be mentioned here that these coefficients are considered valid only for energy. However, in the absence of such information the same weightages have been used for the other nutrients.

It may be reiterated that the main objective of the urban survey was to study the pattern of food and nutrient consumption, Prevalence of nutritional deficiency signs and growth status in different socioeconomic and occupational groups, rather than to study the area differences. Neither was it intended to find out the differences in diet and nutritional profiles of these population groups between the periods. As such the data obtained over the period from different areas were pooled for each income category and comparisons between the income groups alone were attempted In keeping with this objective, the main focus of the report has been on the socio-economic differentials seen in the nutritional parameters. However, the area differences within
the socio-economic groups wherever observed were also highlighted.

## FOOD \& NUTRIENT CONSUMPTION

Food Intake

The foodstuffs have been categorized into conventional food groups and their average intake values per cu per day are provided for each income group separately for different areas in Tables (3-7) while Table -8 gives the consumption levels by different socio-economic groups pooled for all the areas studied.

Cereals \& Millets

The LIG, IL and Slum households consumed relatively higher mean amounts of cereals/millets (around $421 \mathrm{g}$. ) as compared to MIG and HIG whose intakes were 361 and 316 g . respectively. Major cereals were wheat and rice, while jowar, ragi and bajra formed important millets. Pulses

Maximum consumption of pulses was seen in the HIG
(57 g) followed by MIG (49 g). The intake levels in LIG and IL households were moderate but similar (around 40 g ). Minimum intake of 33 g . was observed in the group of Slum families as against the suggested intake of 50 g . Vegetables

Varying amounts of vegetables were consumed by
different groups. The mean intakes ranged from as high as 134 g. in HIG to 51 g . in the slum populations. By and large, the consumption of green leafy vegetables was very low and its contribution to the total vegetables ranged from 22\% in LIG and MIG to 16\% in HIG.

Consumption of Roots and Tubers was about 70 g . in LIG, IL and Slum groups while in HIG and MIG, it was about 80 g.

Fruits

Even after considering ripe tomato as a fruit, the highest consumption level of 124 g . was seen in HIG and lowest level of 26 g . in Slums. LIG and IL groups consumed about 35 g . while in MIG the figure was around 65 g . Flesh foods including Fish

Average consumption figures ranged from 31 grams in HIG to 19 grams in LIG and slums. The intake of 22 grams was seen in the remaining two income groups of MIG and IL. The consumption levels of flesh foods were found to be relatively high in all income groups in the cities of Kerala and West Bengal due to fish consumption.

Milk

The consumption levels of milk on the average were maximum in the $\mathrm{HIG}(9424 \mathrm{ml}$.$) followed by MIG 250 \mathrm{ml}$, IL 98 ml . and LIG 95 ml , while the lowest average level of consumption (42 ml.) was seen in the slum populations.

Fats \& Oils

Maximum consumption of 46 g . was seen in HIG, followed by MIG (35 g.) with LIG and IL groups consuming around 20 g . Minimum intake level of 13 grams visible fat was found in slum families.

Sugar and Jaggery
Average consumption of sugar and jaggery varied within a narrow range of 20 grams in slums to 34 grams in HIG with the other three groups consuming around 30 grams.

Nuts and Oil seeds; Condiments and Spices

Consumption of nuts and oil seeds ranged from 9 grams in slums to 21 grams in HIG and tended to show income elasticity which was not discernible in the case of condiments and spices whose amounts ranged from 12 to 16 g .

These results indicate that the food consumption seen in the five socio-economic groups in general, followed the expected pattern i.e. households from higher economic groups consumed relatively less amounts of cereals and other coarse grains like millets; and more of income elastic protein rich and protective foods like , pulses , milk, vegetables , fruits
and flesh foods. Also, they consumed larger amounts of foodstuffs which are the sources of empty calories like, fats and oils and sugars as compared to those from lower economic brackets.

In this context two points need emphasis (i) the mean levels of consumption of milk and visible fat in shape of oil/ghee were highest in the city of Ahmedabad (Gujarat). (ii) only in the cities of Kerala, the consumption levels of cereals and millets were higher in HIG and MIG households than in other lower socio-economic groups of LIG, IL and Slums. This observation is in contrast to the findings in other cities. The explanation seems to be that the Roots and Tubers which in Kerala, hold the status of staple and are relatively low priced are preferred obviously by poorer segments in this state.

Further, the data suggested that among the five economic groups studied, the LIG and IL groups of households tended to behave as one group and their consumption pattern seemed to lean more towards those of slum dwellers, while the pattern seen in MIG households tended more towards HIG than the other three groups.

## Nutrient Intake

Tables (9-13) give the average intake of nutrients per cu per day of different socio-economic groups separately by cities covered, while Table -14 provides intake levels by economic groups, pooled for all the areas surveyed. Protein ( g )

The overall mean consumption of protein was highest in HIG (73.1) followed by MIG (63.2), IL (59.4), LIG (57.8)
arid Slums (53.4). However, the ratio of protein calories to total calories in all the income groups was almost similar, ranging from 10.8 to 11.2 .

In all the areas, the mean consumption levels of protein in HIG, MIG and IL groups were above the recommended level of 55 grams. However, in the LIG households in the cities of Madras (Tamil Nadu), Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh) and Calcutta (West Bengal), the intake levels were around 51 grams and marginally below the RDA levels. In the slum population, the levels were low, particularly in the cities of Trivandrum and Cochin of Kerala (30.8), Madras in Tamil Nadu (43.9) and Hyderabad in Andhra Pradesh (45.7). The lower levels of protein intake were associated with lower energy intake levels.

Energy (Kcal)
The highest intake of energy observed in the households of HIG (2603) followed by MIG (2364), IL (2243), LIG.(2231) and Slums (1963). Although the consumption of trends of energy by socio-economic category were similar to protein, it being highest in HIG, followed by MIG, IL, LIG and Slum in descending order, certain area differences seen within economic groups are highlighted.

Lucknow and Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh and of Nagpur and Pune in Maharashtra were the only cities in HIG group where the mean intakes of energy were observed to be below the RDA level of 2400 Kcal.

For MIG group in the cities of Kerala (Trivandrum and Cochin), Karnataka (Bangalore and Mysore), Maharashtra (Nagpur and Pune) and Orissa (Bhubaneswar and Cuttack) the consumption levels of calories were observed to be above the RDA, while in all other cities the intakes were below the RDA level of 2400. The lowest intake of 1761 was seen in the MIG households of Calcutta city (West Bengal).

Similarly in Industrial Labour group excepting in the cities of Maharashtra and Uttar Pradesh (where the average intakes were marginally adequate), in all the other places surveyed, the consumption levels were below the recommended intakes. The lowest level of energy consumption was seen in the industrial labourers of Bhopal in Madhya Pradesh (about 1900 Kcal).

In slums, the mean consumption of energy ranged from 1759 in Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh) to 2287 in Bangalore and Mysore of Karnataka which was below the RDA. The average gap in calorie consumption observed in these households was of the order of 540 Kcals.

INTAKE OF MINERALS AND VITAMINS
Iron (mg)
Average intake of iron in all the socio-economic groups, pooled for all the areas were above the RDA of 24 mg . and tended to be fairly uniform. Wherever the intakes were below the RDA, the deficit was marginal except in slum
households of Kerala and Tamil Nadu where the deficit was around 17\%.

Calcium (mg)
The mean intake levels of calcium in all the socioeconomic groups studied in all the areas were above the RDA of 400-500 mg. A definite sociio-economic gradient in the calcium intake was seen; with highest consumption of 1121 mg in HIG and lowest level of 492 mg in slum households.

Vitamin-A (/ug)
A definite socio-economic gradient was seen in the consumption levels of vitamin $A$. The highest average intake of 881 /ug was seen in HIG followed by $555 / \mathrm{Ug}$ in-MIG, 352 /ug in Industrial Labour, 332/ug in LIG and 248 /ug in slum households. The average intakes were above the RDA (750 /ug) in the HIG households (except in Calcutta) as well as in MIG households (except in Trivandrum and Cochin in Kerala). In Nagpur and Pune (Maharashtra) the levels were marginally deficient ( $732 / \mathrm{ug}$ ). In all the areas of LIG, IL and slum households, the consumption levels were below the RDA.

Thiamine, Riboflavin and Niacin

The intake of Thiamine in all the socio-economic groups were above the RDA of 1.20 mg . The mean Riboflavin intake was observed to be above the RDA (1.4 mg) only in the households of higher income group. In the other groups,
it ranged from 0.81 mg in slums to 1.17 mg in MIG with LIG and IL consuming about 0.93 mg each. The higher intakes of this vitamins are due to higher consumption of milk.

The average consumption level of Niacin varied within
a narrow range of 14.6 mg to 15.9 mg in all the socioeconomic groups compared to RDA of 16.0 mg .

## Vitamin C

Highest intake of 93 mg of vitamin $C$ was observed in HIG followed by 70 mg in MIG and about 50 mg in LIG and IL households. The lowest intake of 40 mg was seen in slum households. Compared to the RDA ( 40 mg ), the intake of this vitamin in all categories of households was adequate.

## NUTRITIONAL STATUS

Of the total 32,332 subjects examined for nutritional status, 876 were infants (below one year)/ 4393 preschool children (1-5 years), 6578 school age children (5-12 years), 7160 adolescents (12-21 years) and the rest $12 / 925$ were adult (above 21 years). Table 15 provides the age-wise coverage by socio-economic status.

## Deficiency Signs

The prevalence figures of different nutritional deficiency signs in different age groups as well as by the socio-economic groups are given in tables 16 to 23 while city wise figures are provided in tables 45 to 78
under the Appendix. Comments are based only on pooled data (Tables 16-23). It may be noted that wherever the coverage was less than 25 (in cities) the prevalence figures were not calculated and the corresponding figures were not considered for pooling the results. Protein Energy Malnutrition (PEM)

In general, the clinical manifestations of PEM such as Oedema (kwashiorkor), marasmus and emaciation were seen only in young children (under 5 yrs) who belonged to lower income categories. The cases of oedema ( $0.9 \%$ ) were seen only in preschool age children of slums while nutritional marasmus and emaciation was seen both in infants and preschool children.

The overall prevalence of Marasmus (2.0\%) and Emaciation (1.2\%) was highest in slum children compared to other income categories such as IL (1.1\%, 0.6\%)/ LIG (0.1\%, 0.1\%), and MIG (0.2\%, 0.1\%) respectively (table 16 and 17).

In school age children only emaciation was observed in industrial labour and slum groups (table 18 and 19). Vitamin A deficiency (Table 16 to 23)

Common occular manifestations of conjunctival xerosis and Bitot spots were considered for this purpose. In general, these manifestations were not seen in infants. However, in children of preschool and school age, the prevalence increased with age. The highest prevalence was seen in school age children (all income groups).

Income trend was descernible in their prevalence; the highest prevalence being in slum children (7.8\%) followed by Industrial Labour (6.8\%), Lower Income Groups (4.1\%) and Middle Income Group (4.7\%) children.

The prevalence of this problem in adolescents and adults was of the order of $6.0 \%$ and $2.1 \%$ respectively in slums, $5.8 \%$ and $1.6 \%$ in Industrial Labour group, $3.7 \%$ and $0.6 \%$ in LIG group. In MIG, $0.9 \%$ of adolescents and $0.6 \%$ of adults had signs of vitamin deficiency. In HIG the same prevalence for adolescents and adults was 1.2\% and $0.3 \%$ respectively.

B-complex deficiancy (Table 16 to 23)

Oral lesions of angular stomatitis, red raw tongue, cheilosis etc., indicative of vitamin B-complex deficiency were absent in infants. Definite age trends in their prevalence was noticed with highest prevalence being in school age group. Although no clearcut income trends in their prevalence, as seen in case of vitamin A deficiency signs were observed, maximum prevalence was seen in school children in slums (15.3\%) with IL, LIG and MIG having 7.1\%, 7.9\%, 6.5\% respectively. In HIG, the prevalence was about $1.2 \%$. While about $13.2 \%$ of adolescents and $5.4 \%$ of adults, from slums had these signs, the prevalence figures for these two groups viz., adolescents and adults belonging to IL, LIG, MIG and HIG are as follows:

|  | Adolescents | Adults |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| IL | $5.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| LIG | $5.9 \%$ | $2.7 \%^{\prime}$ |
| MIG | $2.5 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| HIG | $2.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |

In general, adolescent group had higher prevalence of B-complex deficiency signs as compared to adult counterparts.

Sex differentials in PEM and vitamin deficiency
In general, clinical PEM, which was frequently seen
in young children of under five years, tended to be more in boys than girls.

In. respect of vitamin deficiencies it could be stated that more preschool boys seemed to suffer than girls. Similar sex differentials were observed in other age groups also.

## Dental Caries

Dental Caries, though cannot be considered strictly a nutritional deficiency sign, its relationshop to the quality of habitually consumed diet of the population is well known. Its prevalence was seen in all the age groups of all the socio-economic classes and tended to be directly related to socio-economic status of population i.e. prevalences were higher in economically better segments than the poorer groups. The peak prevalence was observed in the school age groups. The prevalence in the school age was found
to be lowest. In general, the males seems to suffer more than the females.

## ANTHROPOMETRY

The figures in the tables 24 to 33 provide mean, standard deviation (SD) and the coefficient of variation(CV) of each of the four body measurements, namely, height, weight, arm circumference, and fat fold at triceps by age, sex and socio-groups.

The moan values of the measurements, in general, were consistantly higher in individuals of HIG than others and those of slums were lowest as compared to the other groups. The MIG, LIG, and IL groups held intermediate position. The measurements of LIG and IL groups were comparable.

## Growth status of preschool children

Growth status in terms of weight-for-age deficient of preschool (1-5 yrs) children is considered to reflect the general nutritional status of the community to which they belong. As such, the weight-for-age profile of children from the five socio-economic groups were examined and the results are presented.

Percentage distribution of boys and girls according to nutritional grades of Gomez, have been provided in tables 34 to 45. The standard values of weight-for-sge of Indian well-to-do children used for categorization of the children into the different grades are provided in the Appendix.

It may be noted that wherever in cities, the sample covered was less than 25, Gomez classification of children for that sample was not attempted.

The results showed that in general, girls had better body weight profile than the boys in all the socio-economic groups.

In most of the cities the number of children from HIG group was less than 25 as such Gomez distribution for this income group is not provided.

The percent of children having 'normal' body weight status was highest in MIG (38.9) LIG (20.2) and IL (19.4). The slum population had the lowest percent (12.7) of children with 'normal' body weights.

Children suffering from 'mild' to 'moderate' degrees of malnutrition ranged from $60.6 \%$ in MIG to $79.3 \%$ in slums with about 77\% in each of the LIG and IL groups. With the exception of boys from the slum families in all the other groups, the proportion of children with 'mild' malnutrition was greater than those with "moderate' malnutrition.

Highest proportion of 'severely' malnourished children (8.0\%) was seen in slums, while in the LIG and the IL groups, it was about 3.5\%. In MIG, it was less than one percent.

It may be mentioned here that only one child (out of 191) from HIG and four children (cut of 815) from MIG were found to suffer from 'severe' grade of malnutrition (having body weight for. age deficit of more than $40 \%$ of the standard

On the whole, the growth status of children from MIG was the best, while that of the slums was worst. The other two groups, namely, the IL and LIG were having more or less similar profiles and holding an intermediate position between Middle Income Groups and slums.
Table -1
Table showing coverage by years of different Income Groups in different cities
Name of the city $\quad$ HIG MIG

| $1975,76,80$ | $1975,76,77,79$ | 1975 to 80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1976 to 78 | 1975 to 78 | 1976 to 78 |
| 1975 to 80 | 1975 to 80 | 1975 to 80 |
| 1976 to 80 | 1976 to 80 | 1975 to 80 |
| $1975,76,79,80$ | $1976,77,80$ | 1975 to $78 \& 80$ |
| 1975 to 80 | 1975 to 80 | 1975 to 80 |
| 1976,79 | 1977 | 1976,79 |
| 1979 to 80 | 1979 to 80 | N.C. |
| $1976,79,80$ | 1976 | 1976,80 |
| $1976,79,80$ | N.C. | 1980 |

Table-2


| City/Town | High Income Group |  | Middle Income Group |  | Low Income Group |  | Industrial Labour |  | Slum |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighment | Oral | Weighinent | Oral | Weighment | Oral | Weighment | Oral | Weigh ment | Oral | Weigh ment | Ora | 1 \% |
| Trivandrum | 40 | 60 | 73 | 43 | 80 | 120 | 120 | 180 | 100 | 178 | 383 | 611 | 994 |
| Madras | 20 | 30 | 80 | 120 | 100 | 150 | 80 | 120 | 100 | 150 | 380 | 570 | 950 |
| Bangalore | 20 | 30 | 120 | 180 | 118 | 180 | 120 | 180 | 120 | 180 | 498 | 750 | 1248 |
| Hyderabad | 80 | 120 | 100 | 150 | 100\% | 150 | 120 | 180 | 120 | 180 | 520 | 780 | 1300 |
| Nagpur | 20 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 60 | 90 | 100 | 150 | 100 | 150 | 340 | 510 | 850 |
| Ahmedabad | 40 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 120 | 180 | 120 | 180 | 120 | 180 | 520 | 780 | 1300 |
| Bhopal | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 20 | 30 | $40^{\circ}$ | 60 | 40 | 60 | 160 | 240 | 400 |
| Bhubaneswar/Cut Puri | N/. NC | NC | 40 | 60 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 30 | 100 | 150 | 250 |
| Calcutta | 20 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 90 | 200 | 300 | 500 |
| Lucknow/Kanpur | 20 | 30 | 60 | 105 | NC | NC | 20 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 160 | 255 | 415 |
| Total | 280 | 420 | 753 | 1078 | 638 | 960 | 780 | 1170 | 840 | 1288 | 3261 | 4946 | 8207 |

Table-3
NNMB- AVERAGE INTAKE OF FOODSTUFFS ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cu} / \mathrm{day}$ ) IN URBAN HICH INCOME GROUP

| City/Town | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cereals } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Millets } \end{aligned}$ | Pulses | Leafy vegetables | Other vegetebles | Roots and Tubers | Nuts and oil seeds | Condiments and spices | Fruits | Fish | Other flesh foods | Milk | Fets \& oils | Sugar <br>  <br> Jaggery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trivandrum | 418 | 55 | 30 | 112 | 81 | 83 | 22 | 100 | 36 | 30 | 427 | 25 | 42 |
| Madras | 326 | 62 | 38 | 98 | 69 | 26 | 20 | 72 | 4 | 15 | 532 | 40 | 38 |
| Bangalore | 477 | 70 | - 40 | 73 | 83 | 29 | 26 | 45 | 11 | 18 | 288 | 31 | 40 |
| Hyderabad | 327 | 56 | 23 | 104 | 84 | 12 | 17 | 107 | 2 | 17 | 440 | 50 | 27 |
| Nagpur | 340 | 62 | 6 | 87 | 59 | 5 | 15 | 109 | 1 | 15 | 156 | 35 | 34 |
| Ahmedabad | 194 | 53 | 19 | 126 | 88 | 5 | 3 | -176 | 4 | 19 | 558 | 72 | 38 |
| Bhopal | 244 | 60 | 11 | 161 | 58 | 3 | 4 | 276 | 0 | . 2. | 490 | 60 | 46 |
| Bhubanesswar/ Cuttack/Puri |  |  |  |  | N 0 t | cov | vere | d |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calcutta | 274 | 40 | 8 | 121 | 107 | 2 | 10 | 143 | 68 | 38 | 323 | 53 | 35 |
| Lucknow/Kanpur | 242 | 63 | 5 | 145 | 109 | 3 | * | 98 | 4 | 18 | 386 | 29 | 16 |
| Pooled | 316 | 57 | 21 | 113 | 82 | 21 | 13 | 124 | 12 | 19 | 424 | 46 | 34 |

* Less than one gram
Table-4
NNMB-AVERAGE INTAKE OF FOODSTUFFS ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cu} / \mathrm{day}$ ) IN URBAN MIDDLE INCOME GROUP

| city/Town | Cereals and Millets | Pulses | Leafy vegetables | Other vegetables | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Roots } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Tubers } \end{aligned}$ | Nuts <br> and <br> oil <br> seeds | Condiments and spices | Fruits | Fish | Other <br> flesh <br> foods | Milk | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fats } \\ \& \\ \text { oils } \end{gathered}$ | Sugar <br> $\&$ Jagge-「Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trivandrum | 378 | 28 | 11 | 73 | 123 | 68 | 19 | 16 | 41 | 4 | 77 | 6 | 22 |
| Madras | 404 | 35 | 13 | 40 | 48 | 7 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 11 | 63 | 16 | 20 |
| Bangalore | 557 | 51 | 18 | 51 | 53 | 14 | 18 | 42 | 0 | 8 | 112 | 17 | 37 |
| Hyderabad | 438 | 27 | 19 | 29 | 44 | 2 | 16 | 62 | 2 | 18 | 94 | 23 | 20 |
| Nagpur | 460 | 64 | 20 | 61 | 62 | 3 | 16 | 51 | * | 10 | 66 | 30 | 33 |
| Ahmedabad | 321 | 45 | 15 | 60 | 65 | 1 | 4 | - 33 | * | 7 | 163 | 42 | 37 |
| Bhopal | 421 | 51 | 20 | 55 | 39 | 0 | 7 | * | 0 | 5 | 55 | 16 | 26 |
| Bhubaneswar/ Cuttack/Puri | 535 | 59 | 19 | 177 | 148 | 0 | 36 | 15 | 14 | * | 28 | 24 | 11 |
| calcutta | 388 | 34 | 21 | 46 | 86 | 0 | 1 | * | 13 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 22 |
| Luckrow/Kanpur |  |  | Notcovered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pooled | 428 | 42 | 16 | 55 | 66 | 13 | 16 | 35 | 10 | 9 | 95 | 22 | 28 |

Less than one gram
Table-7
NNMB - AVERAGE INTAKE OF FOODSTUFFS ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cu} / \mathrm{day}$ ) IN URBAN SLUM

| City/Town | ```Cereals and Millets``` | Pulses | Leafy vegetables | Other vegetables | Roots and Tubers | Nuts and oil seeds | Condi- <br> ments <br> and <br> spices | Fruits | Fish | Other <br> flesh <br> foods | Milk | Fats <br> oils | Suger <br> Jagge- <br> ry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trivandrum | 286 | 7 | 3 | 41 | 264 | 61 | 14 | 6 | 41 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 13 |
| Madras | 387 | 22 | 12 | 36 | 36 | 6 | 19 | 37 | 17 | 8 | 46 | 12 | 15 |
| Bangalore | 519 | 45 | 15 | 44 | 44 | 8 | 23 | 35 | 2 | 5 | 42 | 10 | 21 |
| Hyderabad | 468 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 24 | 1 | 14 | 52 | 2 | 18 | 30 | 10 | 11 |
| Nagpur | 449 | 45 | 10 | 48 | 42 | 1 | 11 | 26 | 1 | 7 | 31 | 17 | 23 |
| Ahmedabad | 404 | 45 | 8 | 42 | 56 | 1 | 3 | 27 | 7 | 15 | 104 | 29 | 37 |
| Bhopal | 382 | 32 | 3 | 30 | 31 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 26 | 15 | 26 |
| Bhubaneswar/ Cuttack/Puri | 510 | 26 | 31 | 38 | 25 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| Calcutta | 394 | 23 | 21 | 70 | 102 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 36 | 10 | 20 |
| Lucknovz/Kanpur | 409 | 58 | 9 | 17 | 73 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 17 | 55 | 7 | 16 |
| Pooled | 416 | 33 | 11 | v0 | 70 | 9 | 12 | 26 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 20 |



Table-10
NNMB - AVERAGE INTAKE OF NUTRIENTS (cu/day) - URBAN - MIG

Table- 11

NNMB - AVERAGE INTAKE OF NUTRIENTS (cu/day) - URBAN - IL

| Thiamine <br> (mg) | Riboflavin (mg) | Niacin (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Vit-C } \\ \text { (mg) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.94 | 0.87 | 12.4 | 53 |
| 0.63 | 0.73 | 12.5 | 46 |
| 1.14 | 0.96 | 13.1 | 55 |
| 0.87 | 0.67 | 13.7 | 41 |
| 2.00 | 1.15 | 21.4 | 49 |
| 1.78 | 1.13 | 17.8 | 41 |
| 1.92 | 1.03 | 19.5 | 29 |
| 1.35 | 0.82 | 16.7 | 61 |
| 1.73 | 0.97 | 21.1 | 51 |
| 2.08 | 1.31 | 23.2 | 58 |
| 1.35 | Q. 94 | 15.9 | 47 |
| 1.20 | 1.40 | 16.0 | 40 |


|  |  |  | Table-12 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NNMB | - AVERAGE IN | KE OF | UTRIENTS | (cu/day) | URBAN | - IL |
| City/Town No | No.of Households | Protein <br> (g) | Calories <br> (Kcal) | Calcium (mg) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iron } \\ \text { (mg) } \end{gathered}$ | Vit-A <br> Retinol <br> () |
| Trivandrum |  | 55.2 | 2235 | 715 | 26.0 | 482 |
| Madras | 114 | 54.6 | 2174 | 532 | 24.5 | 360 |
| Bangalore | 80 | 56.9 | 2291 | 695 | 25.9 | 435 |
| Hyderabad | 115 | 52.7 | 2194 | 415 | 23.6 | 302 |
| Nagpur | 119 | 72.3 | 2511 | 497 | 33.1 | 453 |
| Ahmedabad | 100 | 59.7 | 2177 | 535 | 25.1 | 245 |
| Bhopal | 119 | 56.8 | 1907 | 352 | 23.6 | 116 |
| Bhubaneswar/Cuttack/ Puri | / $\begin{array}{r}40 \\ 20\end{array}$ | 59.3 | 2243 | 386 | 27.7 | 285 |
| Calcutta | 39 | 68.8 | 2180 | 429 | 26.6 | 191 |
| Ltiicknow/Kanpur | 20 | 79.5 | 2416 | 711 | 30.9 | 372 |
| Pooled | 766 | 59.4 | 2243 | 548 | 26.3 | 352 |
| Recommended Intake <br> (ICMR - 1981) |  | 55.0 | 2400 | 400-500 | 24.0 | 750 |

Table-13

Table- 14

SdnOy NZGY

| U |
| :--- |
| 1 |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $i-1$ |

Niacin
(mg) Riboflavin (mg)

| Calories <br> (Kcal) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calcium } \\ \text { (mg) } \end{gathered}$ | Iron . <br> (mg) | Vit-A <br> Retinol <br> (/ug) | Thiamine (mg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

(mg) $\begin{gathered}\text { Retinol (/ug) (mg) } \\ \text { (/un }\end{gathered}$ (/ug)
Table-15
NNMB - NUTRITIONAL ASSESSMENT - COVERAGE

| Age Group | in years | Sex | HIG | Socio Economic Group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | MIG | LIG IL |  | SLUM | TOTAL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infants | Below 1 | $B+G$ | 17 | 115 | 184 | 249 | 311 | 876 |
| Pre-schoolers | 1-5 | $B+G$ | 191 | 815 | 933 | 1167 | 1287 | 4393 |
| School Age | 5-12 | Boys | 121 | 777 | 738 | 977 | 869 | 3402 |
|  |  | Girls | 110 | 376 | 730 | 881 | 899 | 3496 |
| Adolescents | 12-21 | Boys | 172 | 813 | 732 | 882 | 905 | 3504 |
|  |  | Girls | 219 | 902 | 809 | 842 | 884 | 3656 |
| Adults | 21 \& above | Males | 318 | 1403 | 1172 | 1375 | 1402 | 5670 |
|  |  | Females | 441 | 1777 | 1544 | 1798 | 1695 | 7255 |
|  |  | Total | 1589 | 7478 | 6842 | 8171 | 8252 | 32332 |

Table-16

| Deficiency Signs | HIG* | MIG* | LIG | SLUMS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  | 178 | 254 |  |
| NAD | 92.5 | 93.8 | 91.3 |  |
| Emaciation | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 |  |
| Marasmus | 0.6 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | - | - |  |
| Total vitamin A | 0.6 | - | - |  |
| deficiency | 0.6 | - |  |  |
| Bitot's spot |  |  |  |  |

* Coverage in HIG and MIG was less than 25, hence prevalence figures are not provided
- Nil prevalence
Table-17
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - PRESCHOOL CHILDREN

| Deficiency Signs | HIG | MIG | LIG | 1L | SLUMS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 155 | 795 | 915 | 1158 | 1285 |
| NAD | 87.1 | 92.1 | 88.1 | 86.4 | 74.4 |
| Oedema |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| Emaciation | - | - | - | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Marasmus |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| Two or more signs of PCM | - | 0.2 | 0.1 |  | 0.6 |
| Conj. Xerosis |  |  |  | - | 0.7 |
| Bitot's spot | - | - | - | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| Total vitamin 'A' deficiency |  | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 3.7 |
| Angular stomatitis | - | 0.2 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 7.2 |
| Other B-complex deficiency |  | 0.4 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 0.7 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | - | 2.3 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 8.0 |
| Caries |  |  | 0.5 | 3.0 | 1.2 |

Table-18
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - SCHOOL AGE BOYS

Table-19
NNHB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - SCHOOL AGE GIRLS

| Deficiency Signs | HIG | MIG | LIG | IL | SLUMS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 67 | 859 | 690 | 881 | 899 |
| NAD | 64.1 | 72.7 | 77.7 | 77.2 | 68.1 |
| Emaciation | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Conj. Xerosis | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.6 |
| Bitot's spot | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| Total vitamin 'A' deficiency | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Angular stomatitis | 1.5 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 6.1 | 13.0 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 3.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 6.8 | 13.9 |
| Caries | 26.9 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 10.3 |

NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADOLESCENT BOYS

| Deficiency Signs | HIG | MIG | LIG | IL | SLUMS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 159 | 789 | 727 | 863 | 855 |
| NAD | 88.0 | 88.8 | 80.7 | 79.1 | 72.5 |
| Conj. Xerosis | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Bitot's spot | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 4.2 |
| Total vitamin 'A' deficiency | 1.2 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 |
| Angular stomatitis | 2.5 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 11.0 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 2.2 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 13.2 |
| Caries |  | 8.9 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.6 |

Table-21
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADOLESCENT GIRLS

| Deficiency Signs | HIG | MIG | LIG | IL | SLUMS |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |
| NAD | 269 | 896 | 769 | 842 | 861 |
| Conj.Xerosis | 83.4 | 88.6 | 80.9 | 83.2 | 87.2 |
| Bitot's spot | - | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Total vitamin 'A' deficiency | - | 1.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.7 |
| Angular stomatitis | 1.8 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 6.7 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 1.8 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 8.5 |
| Caries | 7.1 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 6.0 |


| Table-22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADULT MALES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Signs | HIG | MIG | LIG | IL | SLUMS |
| Number | 303 | 1403 | 1172 | 1375 | 1402 |
| NAD | 82.5 | 85.1 | 89.3 | 86.4 | 80.1 |
| Conj.Xerosis | - | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| Bitot's spot | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Total Vitamin 'A' deficiency | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Angular stomatitis | 0.3 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 3.9 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 0.7 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 5.4 |
| Caries | 14.2 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.6 |

Table-23

| Deficiency Signs | RIG | MIG | LIG | IL | SLUMS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 441 | 1777 | 1544 | 1798 | 1695 |
| NAD | 79.1 | 75.8 | 67.8 | 69.6 | 58.1 |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Bitot's spot | - | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| Total vitamin 'A' deficiency | - | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Angular stomatitis | - | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 4.2 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 0.4 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 5.8 |
| Caries | 10.2 | 9.5 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 |

Table - 24
Fst fold ot Triceps (m)


are eircumference (avi

Table - 25


部紊

| Fot/ichd ot Tricops (m) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| men | 5.0. | C.v. |
| 8.2 | 1.87 | 22:0 |
| 7.7 | 1.86 | 24.1 |
| 7.7 | 2.00 | 27.0 |
| 7.9 | 1.al | 22.7 |
| 7.3 | 1.99 | 27.3 |
| 6.9 | 1.50 | 21.8 |
| 6.6 | 1.47 | 22.1 |
| 6.3 | 1.95 | 30.2 |
| 6.3 | 1.95 | 31.2 |
| 5.9 | 1.49 | 25.0 |
| 6.3 | 2.10 | 33.1 |
| 3.9 | 1.52 | 23.8 |
| 6.4 | 2.02 | 31.8 |
| 6.6 | 2,44 | 37.0 |
| 6.6 | 2.56 | 38.8 |
| 6.6 | 2.25 | 34.2 |
| 6.7 | 2.42 | 35.6 |
| 6.9 | 2.76 | 40.0 |
| 6.6 | 2.52 | 37.8 |
| 6.2 | 2.59 | 41.7 |
| 6.7 | 3.46 | 51.3 |
| 7.9 | 4.11 | 52.0 |
| 9.9 | 4.43 | 45.0 |
| 10.2 | 4.77 | 46.8 |
| 9.9 | 4.39 | 44.9 |
| 10.0: | 4861 | 46.2 |
| 9.1 | 3.88 | 42.7 |
| 9.2 | 4.80 | 52.0 |
| 9.2 | 4.00 | 43.6 |





=

Table - 27
零这

| At roleret-riceph |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| нра | 8.D. | c: $\mathrm{IL}_{2}$ |
| 7.8 | 2.65 | 33.8 |
| 7.8 | 1.72 | 22.1 |
| 7.7 | 2.06 | ${ }^{6.6}$ |
| 8.0 | 2.02 | 25.2 |
| 7.8 | 2.60 | 26.7 |
| 7.1 | 1.69 | 23.7 |
| 6.4 | 1.82 | 23.6 |
| 8.8 | 2.66 | 23.3 |
| 6.0 | 3.46 | 26.2 |
| 8.0 | 1.46 | 2.9 |
| 6.0 | 1.67 | 80.6 |
| 6.8 | 1.43 | 9.6 |
| 6.3 | 2.02 | 32.1 |
| 6.1 | 1.98 | 22,8 |
| 6.1 | 2.90 | 31.8 |
| 6.3 | 2.20 | 33. |
| 6.1 | 1,85 | 30.6 |
| 6.1 | 2.40 | 30.3 |
| 6.4 | 2.00 | 20.0 |
| 6.2 | 3.02 | 48.6 |
| 6.8 | 2.00 | . 7 |
| 0.8 | 3.48 | 51.3 |
| 7.6 | 4.33 | 57.0 |
| 7.4 | 4.07 | 86.0 |
| 7.9 | 4.3 | 65.0 |
| 7.2 | 3.71 | 61.7 |
| 7.5 | 3.35 | 44.6 |
| 7.8 | 3.94 | 50.2 |
| 8.4 | 6.28 | 60 |

radse - 80
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mose | -8.0. | car. | men | 8.0. | c.r. | mose | s.D. |  |
| 62.8 | 6.18 | 20.0 | 8.9 | 1.62 | 27.3 | 12.2 | 1.76 |  |
| 73.0 | 8.37 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 2.34 | 16.9 | 12.7 | 1.18 | 0.4 |
| 80.7 | 6.27 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 2.32 | 23.9 | 13.3 | 1.12 | 8.4 |
| 87.0 | 8.91 | 6.8 | 21.1 | 1.73 | 26.7 | 13,6 | 1.37 | 10.1 |
| 20.6 | 8.20 | 0.3 | 22.3 | 2.73 | 14.0 | 24.2 | 1.28 | 8.9 |
| 20.2 | 6.90 | 6.8 | -25.4 | 2.76 | 18.0 | 14.4 | 2.24 | 7.9 |
| 208.1 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 18.1 | 1.98 | 23.2 | 24.4 | 2.10 | 7.6 |
| 214.7 | -0.6n | 6.9 | 17.0 | 2.76 | 16.2 | 15.4 | 1.52 | 0.8 |
| 228.0 | 6.62 | 8.6 | 28.8 | 2.9x | 16.6 | 16.3 | 1.62 | 20.0 |
| 122.2 | 7.20 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 3.23 | 25.3 | 26.9 | 2.21 | 8.3 |
| 127 | 2.78 | 6.1 | 23.0 | 4.51 | 29.6 | 16.7 | 2.82 | 20.9 |
| 13.9 | 7.60 | 5.8 | 26.2 | 4.64 | 28.4 | 27.0 | 2.74 | 20.8 |
| 8.1 | 2.70 | 5.6 | ${ }^{89.1}$ | 4.92 | 17.6 | 27.9 | 1.79 | 10.0 |
| 18.4 | 7.12 | s.0 | 31.6 | 6.07 | 19.8 | 18.7 | 2.00 | 21.8 |
| 387.7 | 0.30 | 4.3 | 26.4 | 5.64 | 18.5 | 20.0 | R.56 | 12.8 |
| 24.1 | 6.18 | 4.1 | 30.2 | 6.87 | 27.6 | 22.0 | 3.90 | 17.7 |
| 249,6 | 5.95 | 4.0 | 40.4 | 5.93 | 14.7 | ${ }^{21.3}$ | 2.48 | 21.6 |
| 200.9 | 6.47 | 9.6 | 42.3 | o.ss | $\underline{15.6}$ | 22.6 | $1.81{ }^{\text {- }}$ | 8.4 |
| 200.9 | 6.68 | 4.4 | 42.1 | 5.30 | 12.6 | 22.9 | 2.10 | 0.6 |
| 252.7 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 42.6 | 6.14 | 14.4 | 22.1 | 2.31 | 0. 4 |
| 260.1 | 3.44 | 3.6 | 43.2 | 6.38 | 14.8 | 22.5 | 2.48 | 12.0 |
| 150.8 | 8.60 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 7.96 | 17.9 | 23.0 | 3.37 | 24.2 |
| 180.6 | 5.76 | 3.8 | 45.9 | 6.63 | 18.8 | 22.6 | 3.03 | 13.4 |
| 250.4 | 6.02 | 3.8 | 46.8 | 9.7 | 19,8 | 24.1 | 3.42 | 24.2 |
| 280.1 | 6.41 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 0.50 | 20.0 | 23.9 | 3.30 | 24.2 |
| 18.1 | 5.60 | 3.8 | 45.3 | 8.95 | 19.7 | 23.7 | 3.20 | 23.9 |
| 147,8 | 8.84 | 2.5 | 43.3 | 6.86 | 14.7 | 22.5 | 2.71 | 22.3 |
| 248.5 | 8.46 | 3.7 | 42.8 | 0.36 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 2.49 | 18.6 |
| 247.3 | 5.45 | 3.7 | 41.7 | 7.5 | 18. | 22.3 | 2.92 | 13.1 |




| man | 5.0. | c. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.4 | 2.33 | 38.9 |
| 7.5 | 2.15 | 28.4 |
| 7.7 | 2.31 | 30.0 |
| 8.3 | 2.50 | 30.1 |
| 7.9 | 2.10 | 27.7 |
| 7.1 | 2.94 | . 27.3 |
| 6.7 | 1.94 | 29:9 |
| 6.3 | 2.11 | 33.6 |
| 6.1 | 1.59 | 25.5 |
| 5.7 | 1.70 | 29.8 |
| 6.1 | 1.89 | 30.9 |
| 5.8 | 1.72 | 29,8 |
| 6.0 | 1.09 | 31.6 |
| 5.9 | 1.41 | 24.1 |
| 6.2 | 2.12 | 34.2. |
| 6.0 | 1.91 | 31.7 |
| 6.0 | 1.67 | 28.0 |
| 6.1 | 1.97 | 32.5 |
| 5.7 | 1.92 | 34.0 |
| 5.7 | 1,88 | 32.8 |
| 6.0 | 2.26 | 37.7 |
| 3.8 | 2.26 | 39.0 |
| $6.0^{\circ}$ | 2.91 | 48.6 |
| 9.9 | 3.10 | 32.2 |
| 6.2 | 3.07 | 49.9 |
| 6.2 | 3.20 | 91.7 |
| 6.2 | 2.66 | 42.7 |
| 6.2 | 3.41 | 59.3 |
| 6.0 | 2.61 | 43.2 |

Table - 32

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |










Table -133


|  |  | Helight (cm) |  |  | Neight (kg) |  |  | Axi ciscunference (ca) |  |  | Fat fold at Tricep: (mm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asp | - | Mean | S.0. | c.v. | mean | 5.0. | c.v. | menn | S.O. | c.v. | mean | 5.0. | c.v. |
| $\infty$ | 150 | 61.2 | 3,83 | 9,5 | 3.7 | 1.54 | . 27.1 | 11.8 | 1.79 | 13.2 | 7.9 | . 2.73 | 34.7: |
| 014 | 126 | 71.9 | 5.57 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 1.25 | 16.6 | 12.0 | 1.10 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 2.43 | 32.7 |
| Ot+ | 136 | 76.5 | 5.04 | 6.4 | 9.0 | 3.47 | 38.7 | 13.0 | 1.20 | 9.3 | 0.2 | 2.11 | 25.7 |
| 03+ | 132 | 84.5 | 5.74 | 6.8 | 10.4 | 3.79 | 36.3 | 13.4 | 1.25, | 9.4 | 8.4 | 2.31 | 29.8 |
| 04* | 166 | 91.1 | 7.42 | 8.1 | 12,0 | 1.82. | . 15.1 | 13.7 | 1.21 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 2.36 | 29.1 |
| 0st | 127 | 90.7 | 5.28 | 3.3 | 13.6 | 1.81 | 13.3 | 14.1 | 1.11 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 2.10 | 27.3 |
| 064 | 114 | 104.5 | 5.91 | 5.7 | 15.1 | 2.45 | 16.2 | 14.4 | 1.04 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 2.05 | 27.8 |
| 07* | 131 | 109.8 | 6.60 | 6.0 | 16.4 | 2.12 | 13.0 | 14.6 | 1.09 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 1.83 | 26.6 |
| 00\% | 154 | 116.1 | 5.73 | 4.9 | 18.4 | 2.38 | 12.9 | 15.1 | 1.10 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 2.04 | 30.9 |
| 09* | 114 | 121.2 | 6.25 | 5.2 | 20.2 | 2.36 | 12.7 | 13.5 | 1.26 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 1.82 | 26.7 |
| 10 | 153 | 123.9 | 7.43 | 6.0 | 21.6 | 3.59 | 16.6 | 15.9 | 1.44 | 9.1 | 6.9 | 2.09 | 30.2 |
| 11* | 77 | 128.9 | 7.09 | 3.5 | 23.7 | 4.00 | 16.8 | 16.6 | 1.73 | 10.4 | 6.9 | 2.19 | 31.7 |
| 12* | 141 | 133.7 | 6.62 | 4.9 | 26.8 | 4.25 | 15.8 | 17.1 | 1.60 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 2.20 | 29.4 |
| $13+$ | 112 | 138.6 | 6.81 | 4.9 | 29.6 | 4.84 | 16.3 | 18.0 | 1.61 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 2.54 | 33.3 |
| $14+$ | 90 | 184.1 | 6.81 | 4.7 | 34.1 | 6.46 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 2.13 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 3.40 | 39.2 |
| 154 | 81 | 146.6 | 4.41 | 4.4 | 37.6 | 5.28 | 14.0 | 20.3 | 2.26 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 2.61 | 29.4 |
| $16+$ | 78 | 149.6 | 3.58 | 3.7 | 39.1 | 4.65 | 11.9 | 21.0 | 2.14 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 3.29 | . 32.7 |
| 174 | 63 | 150.3 | 6.26 | 4.2 | 41.2 | 6.30 | 15.3 | 21.3 | 2.20 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 4.54 | 43:0 |
| $18+$ | 115 | 149.6 | 6.01 | 4.0 | 42.0 | 3.73 | 13.6 | 22.1 | 2.27 | 10.3 | 10.3 . | 3.74 | 36.4 |
| $19+$ | 58 | 190.0 | 3.70 | 3.8 | 40.3 | 4.64 | 11.3 | 21.1 | 1.91 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 2.66 | 29.2 |
| 20-23 | 395 | 150.1 | 5.74 | 3.8 | 41.7 | 3:33 | . 23.3 | 21.7 | 1.78 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 3.46 | 36.9 |
| 25-30 $\because$ | 386 | 150.3 | 3.75 | 3.8 | 42.4 | 5.72 | 13.9 | 22.0 | 2.17 | 9.9 | 9.6 | 4,01 | 41.9 |
| 30-35 | 263 | 149.7 | 6.21 | 4.1 | 42.0 | 5.75 | 23.7 | 22.1 | 2.00 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 4.22 | 45.4 |
| 35-40 | 260 | 150.3 | 6.32 | 4.3 | 43.1 | 7.31 | 1.0 | 22.3 | 2.31 | $11: 2$ | 9.6 | 4.15 | 43.3 |
| 40-45 | 141 | 149.6 | 6.34 | 4.2 | 41.6 | 7.30 | 17.6 | 22.0 | $2.60^{\circ}$ | 12.3 | 9.7 | 5.51 | 57.3 |
| 45-30 | 100 | 150.7 | 7.22 | 4.8 | 42.4 | 7.35 | 17.3 | 22.2 | 2.33 | 11.4 | 9.3 | 4.33 | 48.7 |
| 50-35 | 64 | 150.8 | 7.30 | 4.8 | 42.1 | 8.82 | 20.9 | 21.7 | 2.76 | 12.6 | 9.6 | 5.09 | 32.9 |
| - 5 - | 64 | 148.3 | 7.92 | 5.3 | 41.4 | 7.80 | 18.8 | 22.0 | 2.67 | 12.2 | 9.4 | 4.70 | 49.9 |
| $\geqslant 6$ | 96 | 148.7 | 7.67 | 3.2 | 39.9 | 6.78 | 17.0 | 21.2 | 2.37 | 11.2 | 8.1 | 3.78 | 46.6 |

Table-34

| CLASSIFICATION - MIG - BOYS (1975-79) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City/Town | Number <br> Surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 90 \\ & \text { Normal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75-90 \\ & \text { Mild } \end{aligned}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | <60 Severe |
| Trivandrum | 36 | 25.0 | 47.2 | 27.8 | 0.0 |
| Madras | 41 | 17.1 | 46.3 | 29.3 | 7.3 |
| Bangalore | 69 | 27.5 | 55.1 | 17.4 | 0.0 |
| Hyderabad | 70 | 24.3 | 55.7 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| Nagpur | 32 | 40.6 | 43.8 | 15.6 | 0.0 |
| Ahmedabad | 121 | 33.1 | 52.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 |
| Lucknow/Kanpur | 28 | 35.7 | 46.4 | 17.8 | 0.0 |

Note: Whenever sample covered was less than 25, Gomez classification was
not attempted.
Table-35
NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMES

| City/Town | Number Surveyed | Weight as percetage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 90$ <br> Normal | $\begin{array}{r} 75-90 \\ \text { Mild } \end{array}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | $\angle 60$ <br> Severe |
| Trivandrum | 25 | 44.0 | 40.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 |
| Madras | 42 | 40.5 | 38.1 | 19.0 | 2.4 |
| Bangalore | 70 | 54.3 | 35.7 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| Hyderabad | 74 | 50.0 | 44.6 | 5.4 | 0.0 |
| Ahmedabad | 73 | 45.2 | 43.8 | 11.0 | 0.0 |
| Lucknow/Kanpur; | 36 | 58.3 | 25.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
| Pooled | 320 | 49.1 | 39.0 | 11.6 | 0.9 |

Note: Sample covered was less than 25 in other areas.
Table-36
NNMB PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION - MIG - POOLED (1975-79)

| City/Town | Number Surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 90$ <br> Normal | $\begin{gathered} 75.90 \\ \text { Mild } \end{gathered}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | $\angle 60$ <br> Severe |
| Trivandrum | 61 | 32.8 | 44.3 | 22.9 | 0.0 |
| Madras | 83 | 28.9 | 42.2 | 24.1 | 4.8 |
| Bangalore | 139 | 41.0 | 45.3 | 13.7 | 0.0 |
| Hyderabad | 144 | 37.5 | 50.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 |
| Nagpur | 51 | 45.1 | 33.3 | 21.6 | 0.0 |
| Ahmedabad | 194 | 37.6 | 49.0 | 13.4 | 0.0 |
| Bhopal | 27 | 37.0 | 51.9 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| Calcutta | 35 | 51.4 | 40.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 |
| Lucknow/kanpur | 64 | 48.4 | 34.4 | 17.2 | 0.0 |
| Pooled | 798 | 38.8 | 45.0 | 15.7 | 0.5 |

Note: Sample covered was less than 25 in the city of Bhubaneswar
Table-37
NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION- LIG - BOYS (1975-79)
CLASSIFICAION

| City/Town | Number Surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \geq 90 \\ \text { Normal } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75-90 \\ & \text { Mild } \end{aligned}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | $\angle 60$ Severe |
| Trivandrum | 73 | 24.7 | 50.7 | 19.1 | 5.5 |
| Madras | 60 | 10.0 | 41.7 | 40.0 | 8.3 |
| Bangalore | 97 | 14.4 | 52.6 | 32.0 | 1.0 |
| Hyderabad | 33 | 15.7 | 51.8 | 30.1 | 2.4 |
| Nagpur | 33 | 0.0 | 39.4 | 48.5 | 12.1 |
| Ahmedabad | 102 | 8.8 | 50.0 | 37.3 | 3.9 |
| Pooled | 448 | 13.4 | 49.1 | 33.0 | 4.5 |

$$
\text { Note: Sample covered was less than } 25 \text { in other areas. }
$$

No coverage in Lucknow/Kanpur city.
Table- 38
NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF l-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ

| City/Town | Number Surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 90 \\ & \text { Normal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 75-90 } \\ & \text { Mild } \end{aligned}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | $\angle 60$ <br> Severe |
| Trivandrum | 62 | 46.8 | 46.8 | 6.4 | 0.0 |
| Madras | 66 | 18.2 | 39.4 | 37.9 | 4.5 |
| Bangalore | 67 | 31.3 | 44.8 | 23.9 | 0.0 |
| Hyderabad | 69 | 31.9 | 47.8 | 17.4 | 2.9 |
| Nagpur | 43 | 9.3 | 51.2 | 39.5 | 0.0 |
| Ahmedabad | 82 | 24.4 | 46.3 | 26.8 | 2.5 |
| Pooled | 389 | 27.8 | 45.8 | 24.6 | 1.8 |

[^2]No coverage in Lucknow/kanpur city.
Table-39 NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ
CLASSIFICATION - LIG - POOLED (1975-79) DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ
CLASSIFICATION - LIG - POOLED (1975-79)

| City/Town | Number Surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 90$ <br> Normal | $\begin{aligned} & 75-90 \\ & \text { Mild } \end{aligned}$ | $65-75$ <br> Moderate | $\angle 60$ Severe |
| Trivandrum | 135 | 34.8 | 48.9 | 13.3 | 3.0 |
| Madras | 126 | 14.3 | 40.5 | 38.9 | 6.3 |
| Bangalore | 164 | 21.3 | 49.4 | 28.7 | 0.6 |
| Hyderabad | 152 | 23.0 | 50.0 | 24.4 | 2.6 |
| Nagpur | 76 | 5.3 | 46.0 | 43.3 | 5.3 |
| Ahmedabad | 184 | 15.8 | 48.3 | 32.6 | 3.3 |
| Bhopal | 32 | 34.4 | 46.9 | 12.5 | 6.2 |
| Bhubaneswar/Cuttack/ Puri | 36 | 8.3 | 44.5 | 38.9 | 8.3 |
| Calcutta | 28 | 21.4 | 53.6 | 21.4 | 3.6 |
| Lucknow/Kanpur |  |  | N 0 | - vere |  |

[^3]Table-40
NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION - IL - BOYS (1975-79)

| City/Town | Number Surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 90 \\ & \text { Normal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75-90 \\ & \text { Mild } \end{aligned}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | $\begin{gathered} \angle 60 \\ \text { Severe } \end{gathered}$ |
| Trivandrum | 86 | 25.6 | 54.6 | 18.6 | 1.2 |
| Madras | 55 | 5.5 | 52.7 | 40.0 | 1.8 |
| Bangalore | 76 | 35.5 | 43.4 | 21.1 | 0.0 |
| Hyderabad | 108 | 10.2 | 52.8 | 35.1 | 1.9 |
| Nagpur | 96 | 10.4 | 30.2 | 47.9 | 11.5 |
| Ahmedabad | 124 | 12.9 | 43.5 | 37.9 | 5.7 |
| Bhopal | 48 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 39.6 | 2.1 |
| Pooled | 593 | 15.7 | 46.0 | 34.4 | 3.9 |

[^4]- No coverage in Lucknow and Bhubaneswar.
Table-41
NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION - IL - GIRLS (1975-79)

| City/Town | Number Surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 90$ <br> Normal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 75-90 } \\ & \text { Mild } \end{aligned}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | $\angle 60$ <br> Severe |
| Trivandrum | 63 | 44.4 | 46.1 | 9.5 | 0.0 |
| Madras | 54 | 24.1 | 48.1 | 25.9 | 1.9 |
| Bangalore | 63 | 34.9 | 42.9 | 20.6 | 1.6 |
| Hyderabad | 101 | 20.8 | 48.5 | 28.7 | 2.0 |
| Nagpur | 89 | 10.1 | 48.3 | 32.6 | 9.0 |
| Ahmedabad | 128 | 26.6 | 44.5 | 28.1 | 0.8 |
| Bhopal | 31 | 12.9 | 45.2 | 41.9 | 0.0 |
| Pooled | 529 | 24.8 | 46.3 | 26.4 | 2.5 |

$$
\text { Note: Coverage is less than } 25 \text { in the city of Calcutta. }
$$

- No coverage in Bhubaneswar and Lucknow city.
Table-42
NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION - IL - POOLED (1975-79)

| City/Town | Number <br> Surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 90$ <br> Normal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 75-90 } \\ & \text { Mild } \end{aligned}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | $\angle 60$ <br> Severe |
| Trivandrum | 149 | 33.6 | 51.0 | 14.7 | 0.7 |
| Madras | 109 | 14.7 | 50.5 | 33.0 | 1.8 |
| Bangalore | 139 | 35.3 | 43.2 | 20.8 | 0.7 |
| Hyderabad | 209 | 15.3 | 50.7 | 32.1 | 1.9 |
| Nagpur | 185 | 10.3 | 38.9 | 40.5 | 10.3 |
| Ahmedabad | 252 | 19,8 | 44.1 | 32.9 | 3.2 |
| Bhopal | 79 | 10.1 | 48.1 | 40.5 | 1.3 |
| Calcutta | 45 | 4.4 | 44.4 | 42.3 | 8.9 |
| Pooled | 1167 | 19.4 | 46.1 | 31.1 | 3.4 |

Note: No coverage in Bhubaneswar and Lucknow city.

$$
T a b l e-43
$$

NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION - SLUM - BOYS - (1975-79)

| City/Town | Number <br> Surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 90 \\ & \text { Normal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 75-90 } \\ & \text { Mild } \end{aligned}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | 60 <br> Severe |
| Trivandrum | 62 | 12.9 | 54.8 | 30.7 | 1.6 |
| Madras | 124 | 12.9 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 8.1 |
| Bangalore | 149 | 8.1 | 36.2 | 43.6 | 12.1 |
| Hyderabad | 82 | 11.0 | 35.4 | 48.8 | 4.8 |
| Nagpur | 65 | 1.5 | 27.7 | 50.9 | 20.0 |
| Ahmedabad | 121 | 7.4 | 33.9 | 48.8 | 9.9 |
| Calcutta | 50 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 28.0 | 12.0 |
| Lucknow/Kanpur | 37 | 5.4 | 54.1 | 37.8 | 2.7 |
| Pooled | 690 | 9.0 | 39.1 | 42.5 | 9.4 |

[^5]No coverage in Bhubaneswar city.
Table-44
NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ
CLASSIFICATION, - SLUM - GIRLS (1975-79)

| City/TownNumber <br> Surveyed |  | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | $75-90$ <br> Mild | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | L60 <br> Severe |  |  |
| Trivandrum | 53 | 30.2 | 49.1 | 18.8 | 1.9 |
| Madras | 78 | 28.2 | 47.4 | 21.8 | 2.6 |
| Bangalore | 107 | 11.2 | 51.4 | 29.0 | 8.4 |
| Hyderabad | 100 | 19.0 | 41.0 | 36.0 | 4.0 |
| Nagpur | 50 | 6.0 | 30.0 | 54.0 | 10.0 |
| Ahmedabad | 102 | 13.7 | 29.4 | 51.0 | 5.9 |
| Bhopal | 25 | 12.0 | 48.0 | 28.0 | 12.0 |
| Calcutta | 40 | 10.0 | 57.5 | 30.0 | 2.5 |
| Pooled | 555 | 16.8 | 43.0 | 34.6 | 5.6 |

Note: Coverage is less than 25 in the city of Lucknow,
No coverage in Bhubaneswar city.
Table-45
NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION.

| City/Town | Number Surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 90$ <br> Normal | $\begin{aligned} & 75-90 \\ & \text { Mild } \end{aligned}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | $\angle 60$ <br> Severe |
| Trivandrum | 115 | 20.9 | 52.2 | 25.2 | 1.7 |
| Madras | 202 | 18.8 | 42.6 | 32.7 | 5.9 |
| Bangalore | 256 | 9.4 | 42.6 | 37.5 | 10.5 |
| Hyderabad | 182 | 15.4 | 38.5 | 41.7 | 4.4 |
| Nagpur | 115 | 3.5 | 28.7 | 52.1 | 15.7 |
| Ahmedabad | 223 | 10.3 | 31.8 | 49.8 | 8.1 |
| Bhopal | 43 | 11.6 | 39.6 | 30.2 | 18.6 |
| Bhubaneswar/Cuttack/Puri |  | N 0 | c $o v e$ | e d |  |
| Calcutta | 90 | 10.0 | 53.3 | 28.9 | 7.8 |
| Lucknow/Kanpur | 61 | 13.1 | 49.2 | 32.8 | 4.9 |
| Pooled | 1287 | 12.7 | 40.7 | 38.6 | 8.0 |

TABLE - $45(\mathrm{a})$
NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ

| Income Group | Number surveyed | Weight as percentage of |  |  | standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 90$ <br> Normal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 75-90 } \\ & \text { Mild } \end{aligned}$ | $60-75$ <br> Moderate | $\begin{gathered} \angle 60 \\ \text { Severe } \end{gathered}$ |
| HIG | 92 | 41.3 | 46.7 | 12.0 | 0.0 |
| MIG | 397 | 29.0 | 51.1 | 19.1 | 0.8 |
| LIG | 448 | 13.4 | 49.1 | 33.0 | 4.5 |
| IL | 593 | 15.7 | 46.0 | 34.4 | 3.9 |
| Slum | 690 | 9.0 | 39.1 | 42.5 | 9.4 |

TABLE - $45(\mathrm{~b})$
NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION- POOLED - GIRLS (1975-79)
i

| Income Group | Number surveyed | Weight as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 90$ | 75-90 | 60-75 | $\angle 60$ |
| HIG | 99 | 54.5 | 35.4 | 9.1 | 1.0 |
| MIG | 320 | 49.1 | 39.0 | 11.6 | 0.3 |
| LIG | 389 | 27.8 | 45.8 | 24.6 | 1.8 |
| IL | 529 | 24.8 | 46.3 | 26.4 | 2.5 |

NNMB - PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1-5 YEARS CHILDREN ACCORDING TO GOMEZ CLASSIFICATION - POOLED - BOYS+GIRLS (1975-79)

| Income Group | Number surveyed | Weioht as percentage of standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 90$ | 75-90 | 60-75 | $\angle 60$ |
| HIG | 191 | 48.2 | 40.8 | 10.5 | 0.5 |
| MIG | 798 | 38.8 | 45.0 | 15.7 | 0.5 |
| LIG | 933 | 20.2 | 47.6 | 28.7 | 3.5 |
| IL | 1167 | 19.4 | 46.1 | 31.1 | 3.4 |
| Slum | 1287 | 12.7 | 40.7 | 38.6 | 8.0 |

Table - 46
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS INFANTS OF IL

| City/ <br> Town | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 29 | 33 | 65 | 51 |
| NAD | 89.7 | 93.9 | 90.8 | 100.0 |
| Emaciation | 3.5 | - | 1.5 | - |
| Marasmus <br> Conj- Xerosis | - | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | - | - | - |
| Total vit.A <br> deficiency | - | - | - | - |

Note : Wherever the sample covered was less than 25 , the prevalence
figures were not calculated.
Table - 47

| City/ <br> Town | Madras | Bangalore. | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 59 | 63 | 36 | 29 | 67 |
| NAD | 91.5 | 90.5 | 100.0 | 93.1 | 86.6 |
| Emaciation | 1.7 | - | - | 6.9 | 1.5 |
| Marasmus | 3.4 | - | - | - | 10.5 |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total vit.A | - | - | - | - | - |
| deficiency |  |  | - | - | - |

Note: In other urban areas the sample covered was less than 25.
Table - 48
NNMB- PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - PRESCHOOL CHILDREN OF HIG

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Ahmedabad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 41 | 37 | 16 | 77 |
| NAD | 85.4 | 75.7 | 87.5 | 93.5 |
| Oedema | - | - | - | - |
| Emaciation | - | - | - | - |
| Marasmus | - |  | - | - |
| Two or more signs of | PCM - | - | - | - |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | - | 6.3 | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | - | - | 6.3 | - |
| Angular stomatitis |  | 5.4 | 6.3 | 2.6 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | - | - | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | - | 5.4 | 6.3 | 2.6 |
| Caries | - | 5.4 | - | 3.9 |

Note: Coverage was less than 25 in Calcutta and Lucknow/Kanpur
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - PRESCHOOL CHILDREN OF M Trivan- Madras Banga- Hydera- Nagpur Ahmeda- Bhopal $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cal } \\ & \text { drum }\end{aligned}$ cut


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Table - } 50 \\
\text { NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - PRESCHOOL CHILDREN OF LIG }
\end{gathered}
$$

| City/ <br> Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhuban swar/ <br> Cuttac <br> Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ <br> Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 119 | 126 | 164 | 151... | 76 | 184 | 31 | 36 | 28 | NC |
| NAD | 92.6 | 87.3 | 81.7 | 90.7 | 77.6 | 94.0 | 100.0 | 69.4 | 96.4 | - |
| Oedema | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Emaciation | - | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marasmus | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Two or more sign of PCM | s | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 11.1 | 3.6 | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | - | 1.8 | - | 1.3 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | - | - | 1.8 | - | 1.3 | 1.6 | - | . 11.1 | 3.6 | - |
| Angular stomatitis | 1.7 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 4.6 | - | 2.2 | - | 11.1 | - | . - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | 2.4 | - | - | - | - | - | 5.6 | - | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 1.7 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 4.6 | - | 2.2 | - | 16.7 | - | - |
| Caries | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.1 | - | 8.3 | - | - |

Table - 51
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - PRESCHOOL CHILDREN OF IL

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> Swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cal- } \\ & \text { cutta } \end{aligned}$ | Lucknow/ <br> kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 149 | 113 | 138 | 198 | 185 | 252 | 78 | NC | 45 | NC |
| NAD | 90.6 | 87.6 | 89.1 | 84.3 | 81.6 | 87.3 | 89.7 |  | 80.5 | '' |
| Oedema | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2.2 |  |
| Emaciation | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | - |  |  | 11.1 |  |
| Marasmus | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 4.8 | - |  |  |  |
| Two or more signs of PCM |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conj. Xerosis | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 3.9 |  | 4.4 | ', |
| Bitot's spot | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | - | 5.1 |  |  |  |
| Total vitamin ${ }^{A}$ deficiency | 3.3 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 9.0 |  | 4.4 |  |
| Angular <br> stomatitis | 0.7 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 |  |  | 2.2 | '' |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.3 |  | 2.2 | '' |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 1.4 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.3 | '' | 4.4 |  |
| Caries | 0.7 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | - | '' |  |  |


| City/ <br> Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopa <br> 1 | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 115 | 202 | 255 | 182 | 115 | 222 | 43 | NC | 90 | 61 |
| NAD | 80.9 | 82.2 | 64.7 | 74.7 | 77.4 | 68.9 | 88.4 | $\prime$ | 86.7 | 62.3 |
| Oedema | - | - | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.3 | - | ' | - | - |
| Emaciation | - | - | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | - | ' | 4.4 | 3.3 |
| Marasmus | - | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 7.7 | - | ' | - | - |
| Two or more signs of PCM | - | - | 2.0 | - | 0.9 | 0.5 | - | ' | - | - |
| Conj. Xerosis | 0.9 | - | 0.4 | - | - | - |  | $1 \times$ | 2.2 | 8.2 |
| Bitot's spot |  | 2.9 | 7.1 | 2.7 | - | 0.5 | 9.3 | ' | 1.1 | 4.9 |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 0.9 | 2.9 | 7.5 | 2.7 | - | 0.5 | 9.3 | ' | 3.3 | 13.1 |
| Angular stomatitis | 4.4 | 7.4 | 16.1 | 9.3 |  | 4.1 | - | $\prime \prime$ | 2.2 | 6.6 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 4.4 | 1.0 | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | ' | - | 1.6 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 8.8 | 8.4 | 16.1 | 9.3 | - | 4.6 | - | ' | 2.2 | 8.4 |
| Caries | 3.5 | 3.0 | 0.4 | - | 0.9 | 0.9 | - | ' | 1.1 | 1.6 |

NC: Not covered
Table - 53
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - SCHOCLAGE BOYS - MIG

| City/ Town | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmeda bad | Bhopal | Bhubaneswar/ Cuttack/ Puri | Calcutta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 74 | 131 | 223 | 59 | 150 | 42 | 31 | 49 |
| NAD | 66.2 | 66.4 | 87.7 | 71.2 | 74.0 | 90.5 | 80.7 | 85.7 |
| Emaciation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Conj. Xerosis | 4.1 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 1.7 | - | 2.4 | - | 2.0 |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 8.2 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 2.4 | - | 2.0 |
| Angular stomatitis | 6.7 | 13.7 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 8.0 | - | 3.2 | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 6.5 | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 6.7 | 13.7 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 8.0 | - | 9.7 | - |
| Caries | 13.5 | 17.6 | 11.8 | 18.6 | 14.0 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 14.3 |

Table-54


| City/ town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Calcutta | Lucknow/ Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 68 | 157 | 131 | 114 |  | 124 | 18 | 46 | NC |
| NAD | 73.5 | 68.2 | 66.4 | 76.3 | 73.5 | 68.6 | 88.9 | 73.9 | ' ' |
| Emaciation | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | $\cdots$ |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | 2.6 | 3.8 | 0.9 | - | 1.6 | 5.6 | 2.2 | ' ' |
| Bitot's spot | 1.5 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 11.5 | 2.2 | ' ' |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 1.5 | 4.5 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 16.7 | 4.4 | ' ' |
| Angular stomatitis | 7.4 | 7.6 | 13.7 | 7.0 | - | 7.3 | - |  | ' |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 1.5 | 1.3 | - | - | - | 0.8 | - |  | $\prime \prime$ |
| Total B-cpmplex deficiency | 8.9 | 8.9 | 13.7 | 7.0 | - | 8.1 | - |  | ' ' |
| Caries | 25.0 | 12.1 | 17.6 | 7.9 | 16.2 | 17.7 | - | 10.9 | ' |

Note: Coverage was less than 25 in Bhubaneswar/Cuttack/Puri.
Table-55
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - SCHOOLAGE BOYS - IL

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmeda bad | Bhópal | Bhubaneswer/ Cuttack/ Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ Kanour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 114 | 94 | 195 | 175 | 103 | 182 | 74 | NC | 40 | NC |
| NAD | 72.8 | 55.3 | 84.1 | 73.7 | 81.6 | 72.5 | 70.3 | " | 70.0 | ", |
| Emaciation | - | - | - | , - | - | - | - | " | 2.5 | " |
| Conj.Xerosis | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 3.4 | - | 6.0 | 6.8 | . | 2.5 | " |
| Bitot's spot | - | 8.5 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 6.8 | " | 5.0 | ", |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 3.5 | 11.7 | 3.1 | 8.5 | 1.0 | 9.3 | 13.6 | ", | 7.5 | " |
| Angular stomatitis | 3.5 | 18.1 | 2.6 | 10.3 | 3.9 | 7.1 | 1.4 | " | 5.0 | " |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | 3.2 | - | - | - | - | 2.7 | -. | - | " |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 3.5 | 21.3 | 2.6 | 10.3 | 3.9 | 7.1 | 4.1 | $\cdots$ | 5.0 | " |
| Caries | 9.7 | 11.7 | 9.7 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 16.2 | * | 10.0 | " |

Table- 56

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubaneswar/ Cuttack/ Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ <br> Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 128 | 134 | 149 | 82 | 76 | 135 | 32 | NC | 81 | 52 |
| NAD | 64.1 | 58.2 | 51.7 | 51.2 | 93.4 | 50.4 | 90.6 | \% | 74.1 | 75.0 |
| Emaciation | 0.8 | - | 0.7 | - | - | - |  | $1 \%$ | 2.5 | - |
| Conj. Xerosis | 3.1 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 5.2 |  | $\prime \prime$ | 6.2 | 3.8 |
| Bitot's spot | 0.8 | 8.2 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 9.4 | ' | 2.5 | 3.8 |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 3.9 | 11.9 | 6.0 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 9.6 | 9.4 | ' 1 | 8.7 | 7.6 |
| Angular stomatitis | 10.2 | 12.7 | 24.2 | 20.7 | 7.9 | 19.3 | 3.1 | ' 1 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 1.6 | 3.7 | - | - | - | 1.5 | - | ' | - | 5.8 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 11.8 | 16.4 | 24.2 | 20.7 | 7.9 | 20.8 | 3.1 | ' 1 | 3.7 | 9.6 |
| Caries | 15.6 | 11.9 | 10.1 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 15.6 | - | ' 1 | 17.3 | 1.9 |

NC: Not covered

|  |  |  |  | Table- <br> SIGNS- | 57 |  | OF MIDDLE INCOME GROUP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NNMB-PERCENT PREVALENCE |  | OF EFECIENCY |  |  | SCHOOLAGE | GIRLS |  |  |  |
| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagapur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubaneswar/ Cuttack/ Puri | Calcutta |
| Number | 26 | 101 | 143 | 259 | 50 | 153 | 51 | 29 | 47 |
| NAD | 69.2 | 17.3 | 81.1 | 76.1 | 78.0 | 86.9 | 90.2 | 58.6 | 87.2 |
| Emaciation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | 4.0 | 0.7 | 3.1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | 5.0 | 0.7 | 5.4 | - | 0.7 | - | - | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | - | 9.0 | 1.4 | 8.5 | - | 0.7 | - | - | - |
| Angular stomatitis | 3.9 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 7.3 | - | 2.0 | - | 3.4 | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | 3.4 | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 3.9 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 7.7 | - | 2.0 | - | 6.8 | - |
| Caries | 11.5 | 12.9 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 16.0 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 17.2 | 12.8 |

Note: Coverage was less than 25 in Lucknow/Kanpur
Table-58

| NNMB-PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - SCHOOLAGE GIRLS OF LOW INCOME GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Calcutta | Luckno w/Kanp ur |
| Number | 72 | 137 | 172 | 98 | 59 | 124 | 28 | NC |
| NAD | 69.4 | 68.6 | 81.4 | 84.7 | 86.4 | 75.8 | 85.7 | ' 1 |
| Emaciation | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | ' |
| Conj. Xerosis |  | 1.5 | - | 1.0 | - | 0.8 | - | ' |
| Bitot's spot | 1.4 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 4.0 | - | ' 1 |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 1.4 | 5.9 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 4.8 | - | ' 1 |
| Angular stomatitis | 4.2 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 3.0 | - | 1.6 | - | ' 1 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | 1.6 | - | , |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 3.0 | - | 3.2 | - | ' |
| Caries | 26.4 | 11.7 | 3.5 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 13.7 | 14.3 | ' 1 |

Note: Coverage was less than 25 in Bhopal, Bhubaneswar/Cuttack/Puri.
NC: Not covered
Table-59
NNMB-PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - SCHOOLAGE GIRLS OF INDUSTRIAL LABOURERS

| City/ Town | Trivan drum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ <br> Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 60 | 95 | 180 | 187 | 105 | 159 | 57 | NC | 38 | NC |
| NAD | 70.0 | 82.1 | 78.9 | 74.3 | 82.9 | 75.5 | 71.9 | ' | 81.6 | ' |
| Emaciation | 1.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | ' | - | ', |
| Conj. Xerosis | 1.7 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 14.0 | $\prime \prime$ | - | ' 1 |
| Bitot's spot | 1.7 | 6.3 | 1.7 | 2.7 | - | 1.3 | 1.8 | '' | 5.3 | ' |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 3.4 | 11.6 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 15.8 | ' | 5.3 | ' ' |
| Angular stomatitis | 3.3 | 11.6 | 3.3 | 9.1 | 2.9 | 7.6 | - | ' | 7.9 | ' |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | 5.3 | - | - | - | - | - | ' | 2.6 | ' |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 3.3 | 16.9 | 3.3 | 9.1 | 2.9 | 7.6 | - | ' | 10,5 | ' ' |
| Caries | 18.3 | 8.4 | 15.6 | 10.2 | 4.8 | 10.1 | 14.0 | ' | 5.3 | ' |

NC: Not covered
Table-60
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - SCHOOLAGE GIRLS OF SLUMS

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubaneswar/ Cuttack/ Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow <br> Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 91 | 116 | 169 | 121 | 89 | 149 | 33 | NC | 90 | 41 |
| NAD | 64.8 | 65.5 | 58.6 | 63.6 | 69.7. | 64.4 | 97.0 | ' | 98.4 | 56.1 |
| Emaciation | 1.1 | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | ' | - | - |
| Conj. Xerosis | 3.3 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.1 | - | - | ' | 1.1 | 4.9 |
| Bitot's spot | 1.1 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 9.9 | 1.1 | - | 3.0 | 17 | 1.1 | 2.4 |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 4.4 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 11.6 | 2.2 | - | 3.0 | ' | 2.2 | 7.3 |
| Angular stomatitis | 9.9 | 11.2 | 24.3 | 20.7 | 7.9 | 10.7 | - | ' 1 | 2.2 | 9.8 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 1.1 | 4.3 | - | 0.8 | - | 0.7 | - | ' 1 | - | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 11.0 | 15.5 | 24.3 | 21.5 | 7.9 | 11.4 | - | ' | 2.2 | 9.8 |
| Caries | 14.3 | 15.5 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 8.9 | 14.1 | - | ' | 12.2 | 9.8 |

Table-61
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEPICIENCY SIGNS - ADOLESCENT BOIS
OF HIG

| City/ <br> Town | Madras | Bangalore | Ahmedabad | Calcutta |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 30 | 45 | 50 | 34 |
| NAD | 86.7 | 80.0 | 92.0 | 94.1 |
| Conj.Xerosis | - | 2.2 | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | 2.2 | - | - |
| Total vitamin A <br> deficiency | - | 4.4 | - | - |
| Angular stomatitis <br> Other B-complex <br> deficiency | - | 2.2 | 2.0 | - |
| Total B-complex <br> deficiency | 6.7 | 2.2 | - | - |
| Carles | 10.0 | 11.1 | 8.0 | - |

Note: Coverage was less than 25 in Trivandrum, Lucknow/Kanpur
Table-62
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADOLESCENT BOYS OF MIG

| city/ <br> Town | Madras | Banga- Hydera- <br> lore bad |  | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cal- } \\ \text { cutta } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 122 | 120 | 117 | 83 | 174 | 61 | 26 | 86 |
| NAD | 81.9 | 90.0 | 93.2 | 79.5 | 89.7 | 96.7 | 96.2 | 90.7 |
| Conj.Xerosis | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | 1.2 | - | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | 2.5 | 0.8 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | - | - | - | - |
| Angular stomatitis | 8.2 | 0.8 | - | - | 2.3 | - | - |  |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 2.5 | - | - | 1.2 | 0.6 | - | - | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 10.7 | 0.8 | - | 1.2 | 2.9 | - | - | - |
| Caries | 1.6 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 3.3 | - | 8.1 |

Note: Coverage was less than 25 in Trivandrum and Lucknow/Kanpur
Table-63

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hydera- <br> bad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Calcutt a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 75 | 171 | 134 | 69 | 89 | 129 | 26 | 34 |
| NAD | 77.3 | 75.4 | 85.1 | 92.8 | 83.1 | 76.0 | 100.0 | 70.6 |
| Conj. Xerosis | 2.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | 4.1 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 6.7 | 0.8 | - | 2.9 |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 2.7 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 6.7 | 0.8 | - | 2.9 |
| Angular stomatitis | 4.0 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 2.9 | - | 7.8 | - | 2.9 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 1.3 | 4.7 | - | 1.4 | - | 1.6 | - | 2.9 |
| Total B-omplex deficiency | 5.3 | 10.5 | 3.0 | 4.3 | - | 9.4 | - | 5.8 |
| Caries | 12.0 | 8.8 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 6.2 | - | 17.6 |

Note: Coverage was less than 25 in Bhubaneswar/Cuttack/Puri.
Table-64
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADOLESCENT BOYS OF IL

| City/ <br> Tdwn | Trivandrum | Madras | Banga- <br> lore | Hydera- <br> bad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 74 | 159 | 134 | 138 | 105 | 208 | 45 |
| NAD | 78.4 | 62.9 | 91.8 | 75.4 | 81.0 | 84.6 | 82.2 |
| Conj.Xerosis | 5.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | - | 1.4 | 4.4 |
| Bitot's spot | 4.0 | 7.6 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 4.4 |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 9.4 | 10.1 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 8.8 |
| Angular stomatitis | 2.7 | 12.6 | 0.7 | 6.5 | 0.9 | 3.8 | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | 2.5 | - | - | - | 1.0 | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 2.7 | 15.1 | 0.7 | 6.5 | 0.9 | 4.8 | - |
| Caries | 6.8 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 10.5 | 4.3 | 6.7 |

Notes Coverage was less than 25 in Calcutta.
Table- 65
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADOLESCENT BOYS OF SLUMS

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Calcutta | Lucknow/ Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 106 | 141 | 137 | 101 | 102 | 218 | 50 | 31 |
| NAD | 74.5 | 69.5 | 68.6 | 91.1 | 81.4 | 61.5 | 80.0 | 74.2 |
| Conj. Xerosis | 4.7 | - | 1.5 | 1.0 | - | 2.8 | - | 3.2 |
| Bitot's spot | 0.9 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 2.0 | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 5.6 | 6.4 | 9.5 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 6.5 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| Angular stomatitis | 11.3 | 14.9 | 16.1 | 10.9 | 2.9 | 11.0 | 2.0 | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 1.9 | 6.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | - | 0.9 | - | 3.2 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 13.2 | 21.3 | 18.3 | 12.9 | 2.9 | 11.9 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| Caries | 6.6 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 7.8 | 9.6 | 12.0 | - |

[^6]Table-66
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADOLESCENT GIRLS OF MIG

| city/ <br> Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | Calcutta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 33 | 138 | 146 | 131 | 97 | 160 | 63 | 32 | 96 |
| NAD | 81.8 | 83.3 | 93.8 | 88.5 | 82.5 | 89,4 | 100.0 | 84.4 | 89.6 |
| Conj.Xerosis | 3.0 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 3.0 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 1.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Angular stomatitis | - | 2.2 | - | - |  | 1.9 | - | 3.1 | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | 2.2 | - | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | - | 4.4 | - | 0.8 | - | 1.9 | - | 3.1 | - |
| Caries | 66.1 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 6.3 | - | 6.2 | 9.4 |

Note: Coverage was less than 25 in Lucknow/Kanpur
Table-67
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADOLESCENT GIRLS OF LIG

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Calcutta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 82 | 169 | 155 | 95 | 79 | 151 | 38 |
| NAD | 89.0 | 82.8 | 86.5 | 86.3 | 83.5 | 84.1 | 86.8 |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | - | 0.6 | 2.1 | - | 1.3 | - |
| Bitot ${ }^{1}$ s spot | - | 3.0 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 2.0 | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | - | 3.0 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 3.3 | - |
| Angular stomatitis | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 2.1 | - | 5.3 |  |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 2.4 | 3.0 | - | - | - | 1.3 | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 4.8 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 2.1 | - | 6.6 | - |
| Caries | 4.9 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 7.9 |


Table- 68
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADOLESCENT GIRLS OF IL

| City/ <br> Town | Tivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 95 | 100 | 157 | 147 | 114 | 150 | 47 | NC | 32 | NC |
| NAD | 82.1 | 72.0 | 89.8 | 82.3 | 79.8 | 82.0 | 95.7 | '' | 93.8 | '' |
| Conj. Xerosis | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | - | - | - | ' ' | - | '' |
| Bitot's spot | - | 6.0 | 1.3 | - | 0.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | ' ' | - | '' |
| Total vitamin deficiency | 2.1 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | ' | - | , , |
| Angular stomatitis | 7.4 | 9.0 | 2.5 | 5.4 | - | 2.7 | - | '' | - | '' |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 3.2 | 2.0 | - | 0.7 | - | 1.3 | - | ' | - | , ' |
| Total B-coraplex deficiency | 10.6 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 6.1 | - | 4.0 | - | ' | - | ' |
| Caries. | 1.1 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 8.7 | 2.1 | ' ' | 6.3 | ' ' |

NC: Not covered
TABLE - 69


| City/ <br> Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubanaswar/ Cuttack/ puri | Calcutta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 116 | 203 | 103 | 120 | 98 | 145 | '25 | NC | 51 |
| NAD | 76.7 | 76.4 | 68.0 | 77:5 | 78.6 | 56.6 | 100.0 | '' | 90.2 |
| Conj. Xerosis | 2.6 | 1.0 | - | - | 1.0 | 0.9 | - | '' | - |
| Bitot's Spot | - | 4.9 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.7 | - | $1 \cdot$ | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 2.6 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 1.6 | - | ' ${ }^{\prime}$ | - |
| Angular Stomatitis | 6.9 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 7.6 | - | 11 | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 0.8 | 5.9 | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | ' | 2.0 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 7.7 | 14.3 | 7.8 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 8.3 | - | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 2.0 |
| Caries | 6.0 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 11.7 | - | 1 | 9.8 |

Note: The coverage was less than 25 in Lucknow/Kanpur city,
NC : Not Covered.
Table-70
NNMB-PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS-ADULT MALES OF HIG

Note: Coverage was less than 25 in Trivandrum
Table- 71

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Banga- } \\ & \text { lore } \end{aligned}$ | Hydera- <br> bad | Nagpur | Ahmeda- <br> bad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> CuttacK/ <br> Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 54 | 165 | 275 | 22S | 122 | 174 | 103 | 53 | 157 | 75 |
| NAD | 100.0 | 81.8 | 93.5 | 91.6 | 73.8 | 89.7 | 88.3 | 98.1 | 87.9 | 88.0 |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | 0.6 | - | - | 2.5 | - | 1.0 | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | 1.8 | - | - | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | - | 2.4 | - | - | 3.3 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Angular stomatitis |  | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.3 | - | - | 0.6 | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | - | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.9 | - | - | 0.6 | - |
| Caries |  | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 17.2 | 4.0 | 11.7 | 1.9 | 11.5 | - |


| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hydera- <br> bad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 125 | 198 | 282 | 160 | 125 | 129 | 55 | 42 | 56 | NC |
| NAD | 90.4 | 85.9 | 93.6 | 96.3 | 89.6 | 76.0 | 85.5 | 95.2 | 85.2 | ', |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | ' ' |
| Bitot's spot | - | 0.5 | 0.4 | - | 1.6 | 0.8 | - | - |  | '/ |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | - | 1.5 | 0.4 | - | 1.6 | 0.8 | - | - |  | ' $/$ |
| Angular stomatitis | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.3 | - | 7.8 | - | 2.4 | - |  |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 1.6 | 1.5 | - | - | - | 1.6 | - | - |  | '/ |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 4.0 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | - | 9.4 | - | 2.4 |  | ' ' |
| Caries | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 14.5 | - | 12.5 | '' |

Table - 73
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADULT MALES OF IL

| City/ Town | Trivan drum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmeda- <br> bad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ <br> Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 85 | 183 | 297 | 236 | 217 | 208 | 91 | NC | 58 | NC |
| NAD | 85.9 | 81.4 | 89.9 | 88.1 | 83.4 | 84.6 | 89.0 | ' | 91.4 | ' ' |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 1.4 | - | '' | - | '' |
| BitotIs spot | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 1.1 | '' | - | '' |
| Total vitamin A deficiency- | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 1.1 | '' | - | '' |
| Angular stomatitis | 4.7 | 1.. 1 | - | 3.8 | 0.9 | 3.8 | - | ' | 1.7 | '' |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 4.7 | 1.6 | - | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | - | ' ' | - | '' |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 9.4 | 2.7 | - | 4.6 | 1.4 | 4.8 | - | ' | 1.7 | '' |
| Caries | 1.2 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 9.2 | 4.3 | 9.9 | ' | 6.9 | ' ' |

NC: Not covered
Table - 74
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADULT MALES OF SLUMS

| City/ <br> Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmedabad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttakc/ <br> Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ <br> Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 166 | 155 | 281 | 185 | 160 | 218 | 51 | NC | 129 | 57 |
| NAD | 81.9 | 85.2 | 88.3 | 86.5 | 78.1 | 61.5 | 98.0 | , | 75.2 | 71.9 |
| Conj. Xerosis | 1.8 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 2.8 | 2.0 | ' | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 3.7 | - | '' | - | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 2.0 | '' | - | - |
| Angular stomatitis | 6.6 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.8 | - | 11.0 | - | '' | - | 1.8 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 4.8 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | - | 0.9 | - | ', | 1.6 | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 11.4 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 4.9 | - | 11.9 | - | '' | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Caries | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 7.5 | 9.6 | - | '' | 20.2 | 1.8 |

SL - əTqe山

| City/ <br> Town | Trivand | Madras | Bangalore | Ahmedabad | Calcutta | Lucknow/ <br> Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 47 | 88 | 61 | 126 | 74 | 45 |
| NAD | 95.7 | 73.9 | 86.9 | 73.8 | 81.1 | 73.3 |
| Conj . Xerosis | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Angular stomatitis | $s$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | 1.1 | - | 0.8 | - | - |
| 'Total B-complex deficiency | - | 1.1 | - | 0.8 | - | - |
| Caries | - | 15.9 | 4.9 | 22.2 | 17.6 | 2.2 |

Table - 76
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADULT FEMALES OF MIG

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Bhopal | Ahmedabad | Nagpur | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ <br> Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 111 | 194 | 299 | 284 | 171 | 303 | 124 | 53 | 143 | 95 |
| NAD | 94.6 | 73.7 | 77.9 | 77.1 | 65.5 | 72.3 | 89.5 | 66.0 | 75.5 | 65.3 |
| Conj. Xerosis | - | - | - | - | 1.2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | - | - | - | 0.4 | 1.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Angular stomatitis | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.5 | - | 0.7 | - | - | - | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | - | 2.6 | - | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | - | - | - | 3.2 |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 1.8 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | - | - | - | 3.2 |
| Caries | - | 1.2 | 2.3 | 9.9 | 12.3 | 16.5 | 10.5 | 3.8 | 23.1 | - |

Table-77
NNMB - PERCENT PREVALENCE OF DEFICIENCY SIGNS - ADULT FEMALES OF LIG

| City/ Triva <br> Town dr | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmeda- <br> bad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | Calcutta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 243 | 251 | 281 | 213 | 121 | 293 | 43 | 46 | 53 |
| NAD | 85.6 | 63.3 | 61.6 | 83.1 | 44.6 | 56.7 | 95.3 | 67.4 | 69.8 |
| Conj. Xerosis | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | 0.4 | 0.8 | - | 0.9 | 5.0 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 0.8 | 1.2 | - | 0.9 | 5.0 | 1.0 | - | - | - |
| Angular stomatitis | is 3.3 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 4.2 | - | 3.4 | - | 4.3 | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 2.1 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 5.4 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 5.1 | - | 3.4 | - | 4.3 | - |
| Caries | 0.8 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 8.3 | 15.7 | - | 6.5 | 26.4 |

Table - 78
ADULT FEMALES OF IL

| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmeda- <br> bad | Bhopal | Calcutta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUmber | 283 | 225 | 293 | 289 | 224 | 316 | 109 | 59 |
| NAD | 80.9 | 69.8 | 72.7 | 76.1 | 48.7 | 58.9 | 89.0 | 69.5 |
| Conj. Xerosis | 0.4 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | 0.4 | - | - | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.0 | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 0.8 | - | - | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.0 | - |
| Angular stomatitis | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 4.2 | - | 1.9 | - | 1.7 |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 2.1 | 2.2 | - | 1.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 5.3 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 5.2 | - | 1.9 | - | 1.7 |
| Caries | - | 3.6 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 11.4 | 8.3 | 22.0 |


| City/ Town | Trivandrum | Madras | Bangalore | Hyderabad | Nagpur | Ahmeda- <br> bad | Bhopal | Bhubane- <br> swar/ <br> Cuttack/ <br> Puri | Calcutta | Lucknow/ <br> Kanpur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 260 | 241 | 273 | 224 | 178 | 304 | 43 | NC | 117 | 55 |
| NAD | 77.7 | 63.1 | 45.8 | 72.8 | 37.1 | 39.5 | 95.3 | ', | 72.7 | 56.4 |
| Conj. Xerosis | 1.9 | - | - | - | 1.1 | - | - | ' | - | - |
| Bitot's spot | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.6 | - | - | ' | - | - |
| Total vitamin A deficiency | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.7 | - | - | ' ' | - | - |
| Angular <br> stomatitis | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 9.8 | 0.6 | 1.6 | - | '' | - | - |
| Other B-complex deficiency | 2.7 | 4.5 | - | 1.8 | - | 1.3 | - | '' | 0.8 | - |
| Total B-complex deficiency | 8.5 | 10.1 | 5.5 | 11.6 | 0.6 | 2.9 | - | ' | 0.8 | - |
| Caries | 0.8 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 7.6 | 10.9 | - | ' ' | 21.4 | - |

NC: Not covered
I. Guidelines for the weiqhment method
a. The village leaders should be appraised of the details and the first contact of the families should preferably be carried out along with one of the local leaders.

The object of the survey must be explained to the family especially the house-wife in the presence of a local leader.
b. The investigator must familiarise himself with all local measures used and the corresponding weights and volumes. In recording, the actual weights in grams and volumes in millilitres should be entered.
c. Festival days and days of celebration of any kind should be avoided as the food consumption on these days deviates considerably from the normal.
d. It is necessary to make two visits to each household; one in the early morning and the other in the evening to weigh the raw foods before they are cooked.
e. All raw foods used in each meal are weighed individually and recorded separately (breakfast, lunch, snacks, tea and dinner or the local pattern whatever it may be). If a portion of any preparation is kept for consumption on the next day, this should be noted. Similarly, if any preparation left over from the previous day is consumed, this should be noted clearly. This is likely to happen, particularly with farmers going to the field in the early hours of the morning.
f. Any additional preparations consumed by the family members (like those bought in a cooked form, accepted from friends and relatives) should be noted with details (nature of preparation, ingredients, and possible proportion of each ingredient, weight of the preparation).
g. Non-edible portions of raw foods (tops of raddish, carrot, etc.) should be taken into consideration and only the weight of the edible portion should be recorded.
h. The ages of all the members in the family partaking the meals should be noted carefully.

This may differ considerably from the family general particulars record, as the members who are married and living separately, will not be staying here. Members, who are temporarfly away with the relatives, will be included in the family size but not for the dietary surveys. i. In the case of pre-school children, information regarding breast feeding and supplementation should be clearly noted.
j. Physiological status of the women (pregnancy and lactation should be noted.
k. Occupational status of the family members must be recorded. This is essential since calorie requirements depend on the type of physical activity.

1. Number of guest meals and absentees (with details of age and sex) should be noted. Apart from the main meals, any snacks consumed outside by the family members should be noted (type of preparation, ingredients, possible
rations vary from family to family with regard to items prepared, quantities of ingredients used in each prepare-
tion and the volume of cooked preparation (variation in dilution). To take care of all these factors, the following stops are adopted.
2. Raw ingredients in each preparation are noted.
3. The amount of each raw ingredient in terms of actual weight if it is known (for example, vegetables) or approximate weight from the knowledge of local measures or with the help of cups is recorded.

Example: Preparation - Sambar
Foodstuffs used

|  | Local measure | Weight in grams |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red gram | 1 pavu | 250 g 。 |
| Brinjal | 1/2 pavu | 125 g . |
| Onion | 3 number small size | 100 g . |
| Potato | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { number } \\ & \text { medium } 1 \text { pavu } \end{aligned}$ | 250 g . |
| Oil | 1 teaspoon | 5 g . |
| Condiments | 2 teaspoons | 10 g . |

c. The amount of cooked preparation is recorded in terms of cups.

When the housewife is not able to give the amount in terms of cups directly, she may be asked to fill the vessel used for the preparation with water upto the same level (approximate) as was with the preparation. This volume is then measured for the total cooked amount.
d. Prom the family preparation, a portion will be served, to individual members. This amount in terms of cups served
proportions, weight of the preparation).
m. The investigator should take particular care to judge that the day's items are not ovdr-estimated or under-estimated. In those families where the previous day's intake is also assessed through oral questionnaire, the rough estimates by these two methods should be compared on the spot as a check. They should not be grossly different.
n. It is better to discourage crowds, especially neighbouring women collecting at the home of the subject from whom information is being collected.
II. Guidelines for the oral questionnaire(24 hour recall) method

For the assessment of family intake and individual intake through this method of survey, a set of standardized cups are used.

The cups are numbered and their volumes measured. The usage of the cups is mainly to aid the house-wives to recapitualte the amounts of foodstuffs used, preparations made and distributed to the individual members in the family. a. As in the case of weighment method, family particulars regarding age, sex, physiological status and occupational status of the members are noted.
b. The types of preparations for the previous day for each meal (i.e. breakfast, lunch, evening tea and snacks, dinner) are noted.

Except in the case of rice, all other types of prepa-
to each individual is noted.
Similar procedure should be adopted to all the preparations made in the family to assess the amount of cooked food consumed by each individual
e. If the individual is a preschool child, breast feeding and/or supplementation practices is also noted.
f. Intake of flesh foods, such as mutton and fish, is assessed by noting the total amount cooked in terms of number of pieces and the number of pices eaten by each individual.
g. Standardized spoons and ladles are used to assess the intake of suger, oil, etc.

NUTRITIONAL STATUS
I. CLINICAL SIGNS

1. Protein-calorie malnutrition
1.1 Hair

Sparse: The hair may become thin, fine and silky in texture and sparse.

Discoloured: The hair shows a distinct lightening of its normal colour, usually evident in the distal parts. In subjects with normally black hair, it turns dark brown, coppery red or blond. While recording this change, allowance should be made in some communities like washerman, in whom because of their profes. sion, dyspigmentation may be present. A positive recording should be made only when a considerable of hair is affected.

Easy pluckability: A small clump or tuft of hair can be easily pulled out with moderate force and without pain. It is usually accompanied by other hair changes such as dyspigmentation, thinness and spareness.
1.2. Moon face: This is a characteristic rounded prominence of the cheeks, protruding over the general level of the nasolebiel folds firm and rubbery to the touch. The mouth presents a pursed in appearance like that ofa fish. This is mostly seen among preschool children and is an early sign of protein-calorie malnutrition. The prominence does not pit on pressure.
1.3. Skin: (Flaky-paint dermatosis/crazy-pavement dermatosis) Usually seen as alternate patches of hyperpigmented and hypopigmented skin. Superficial ulceration is often present resembling a second-degree burn. It can occur anywhere but is characteristically seen on the buttocks and back of thighs. The condition is almost always associated with kwashiorkor.
1.4. Oedema: Apparent in mild cases over the ankles and feet and extends to other areas of the extremities in advanced cases. In early stages, it can be detected by applying firm digital pressure for a few seconds on the lower portion of the medial surface of the tibia. The sign is positive when there is a visible and palpable pit which persists after the pressure is removed. It is recorded when present bilaterally.
1.5 Diagnosis of kwashiorkor: There are four minimal signs that must be present to make a. diagnosis of kwashiorkor.
a. Oedemas This is a cardinal sign of kwashiorkor, and the syndrome should not be diagnosed in its absence.
b. Growth retardation: A low body weight for age and a low mid upper arm circumference.
c. Muscle wasting: The degree of wasting is variable.
d. Psychomotor changes: Apathy, misery and lack of interest in surroundings.

These four primary signs ore usually associated with one or more of the following; hair changes, moon-face, skin changes and other vitamin deficiencies. None of these are essential for making a diagnosis of kwashiorkor.
1.6. Marasmus. The two constant signs of nutritional marasmus are severe growth retardation and wasting of muscle and subcutaneous tissue.

> Growth retardation is very marked and the weight is usually below $60 \%$ of the standard weight for age. Muscle wasting: This is obvious. The arm looks thin and the skin hangs loosely and in folds. The legs look spindly. The chest is wasted and the ribs stand out. Usually, hair and skin changes are not seen.
1.7. Emaciation: Milder degrees of protein-calorie malnutrition are seen much more frequently than the advanced syndromes.

The child is underweight, disproportionate, with long-seeming body and thin limbs. The buttocks are flattened and scapulae appear winged. The chest is small with prominent ribs. In contrast, the abdomen is often
somewhat distended.

## 2. Vitamin A deficiency

2.1. Night blindness; There is difficulty in seeing clearly at dusk or at night. This should be recorded as positive only after a careful evaluation of the history given by the mother. The subject's activities will be limited during dim light since visualization of object is poor.
2.2. Conjunctival xerosis: This condition is characterised by dryness, thickening, pigmentation and lack of usual lustre and transparency of bulbar conjunctiva of the exposed part of the eyeball. A few seconds' (usually fifteen to thirty) exposure by drawing back the lids will intensify the dryness and aid in its identification. Small, more or less vertical, dry folds of the conjunctiva appear at the temporal part of the conjunctiva with the eye is turned fully outwards. The xerotic conjunctiva is not wetted by tears.
2.3. Bitot's spots: There are well demarketed, superficial, dry, white or pearly-grey foamy plaques, often triangular, often confined to the regions lateral to the cornea. They are usually bilateral and often accompany the generalised conjunctival xerosis described above. The Bitot's spot may sometimes be seen in only one eye; it may also occur as a group of small dots.
2.4. Keratomalacia: This lesion is usually bilateral. Part or more often, the whole of the cornea becomes soft, perforates and there may be prolapse of the iris. Generally, there is unequal involvement in the two eyes. Conjunctival
xerosis is usually present. The condition is essentially quiet and insidious, with no pain or other complaints. 2.5. Corneal opacities: Their nature (fine or dense, deep or superficial) and position (which quandrant of the comes) should be noted, since they indicate headed areas of corneal damage.

## 3. B-complex deficiency

3.1 Angular stomatitis: Sodden and excoriated lesions are seen at the angles of the mouth, associated with fissuring. The fissures may be shallow or deep, confined to the angles of the mouta. They extend into the buccal cacity end also onto the skin outside. Milder lesions are discerned easily with the mouth half-open. The sign should be reported as positive only if both angles of the mouth are involved.
3.2. Cheilosis: This is charectarised by vertical fissuring, later complicated by redness, swelling and ulceration of the lips, other then at the angles. The centre of the lower lip is most usually affected. Climatic factors such as cold and wind may sometimes be responsible.
3.3. Glossitis. (red and raw tongue) : The tongue may be bright red in colour, with the mucas membrane denuded to varying extents. The condition is often painful.
3.4. Papillae-atrephic: The filiform papillae may totally disappear giving the tongue a smooth bald appearance.
3.5. Papillae-hypertrophic: The papillae are hypertrophic and appear as red or pink protrusions. These give the tongue a granular or pebbly appearance. Sometimes the tongue has a
purplish-red or magenta colour. If this is present, it must be recorded.
3.6. Nasolabial dyssabacca The lesion consists.of dry greasy filiform projections, grayish or cream-coloured, usually seen in the nasolabial folds. They are also frequently seen on the nose, above the eye brows and on the back of the ears.
3.7. Pellagrous dermatosis : Symmetrical, clearly demarknted, hyperpiomentod areas with or without exfoliation. The lesions are common on parts exposed to sunlight, including the face and the forearms; when they appear around the neck the condition is called "casal's necklace".
4. Parotid enlargement:

This sign is positive if the parotid glands arc clearly visible on both sides. The glands are firm, painless and not tender. The overlying skin is normal.
5. Pigmentation over knuckles and face
6. Koilonychia

Nail surfaces are concave and spoon shaped instead of being convex. It must be recorded whether they are seen in the fingers or toes, or both.

## 7. Anaemia

Palo conjunctiva palo tongue, smooth and atrophic, pale nail beds and pale mucosal surfaces.
8. Scurvy•

Spongy, bleeding gums; purplish or red spongy swelling of the interdental papillae end/or the gum margins which
bleed easily on slight pressure. Presence or absence of gingivitis must also be noted, since infections can produce spongy bleeding gums.
9. Rickets

Craniotabes: This sign consists of areas of softening of the skull, usually involving the occipital and parietal bones. Affected areas dent on pressure and spring back after pressure is released. This sign is positive only in infancy.

Frontel and parietal bossing: This sign consists of localized thickening and heaping up of the frontal and parietal bones of the skull.

Epiphyseal enlargement: Obvious widening of the epiphyseal ends of long bones, particularly affecting the radius and ulna at the level of the wrist, and the tibia and fibula at the level of the ankle must be recorded.

Persistently open anterior fontanelle : Open anterior fontanelle on palpation after the age of eighteen months must be recorded.

Beading of ribs: A symmetrical nodular enlargement of the costochondral junctions producing a beaded or 'rosary' effect. This is a special localised form of epiphyseal enlargement.

Knock-knees and bow-legs: Anterolateral bowing of" the tibiae at the junctions of the middle and lower thirds and is seen in children of $2-3$ years age with rickets (when the rachitic child begins to walk deformities of the shafts of the leg bones appear).
10. Teeth

Mottled enamel: This should be recorded as positive when there are chalky white or brownish patches, with or without erosion of pitting of enamel, best seen in the upper incisors. Caries: The presence of decayed, missing or filled teech should be noted in adults. In children decayed teeth with cavities has to be recorded. The method of examination to be adopted is inspection.

> Number and nature of lesion in each half of jaws must be noted.
11. Phrynoderma:

This is a hyperkeratotic lesion surrounding the mouths of hair folicles and forming projections that resemble cones. It is readily recognised by the spiky feeling it gives when the palm is passed over the effected skin. Most frequently seen on the buttocks, thighs and especially the extensor aspects of the legs and arms, and around the elbows and knees. The. cones are sometimes pigmented. The surrounding skin is dry.

## 12. Thyroid enlargement

Grade I: The enlargement is not visible in the normal sitting position but is palpable and hyper-extension of the neck brings the gland into prominence.

Grade II: The enlargement is just visible, and, the gland is readily seen moving with deglutition.

Grade III: The enlargement is very obvious.

## 13. Enlargement of spleen and liver:

In case of young children examination can be carried cut with the child reclining on the mother's lap, which reduces the likelihood of struggling. After preliminery detection, the abdomen should be palpated in the standard position, with the subject lying down, with the hips and knees flexed. The exact size below the costal margin should be recorded in centimeters. Details whether soft, firm, herd end whether surface is smooth or nodular should also be recorded.

## II. ANTHROPOMETRY

1. Standing height:

Adults: It is measured with an 'anthropometer rod' or a wooden scale.
a) The subject is made to stand erect with heels together after removing foot-wear.
b) He will look straight so that the inferior orbital margin and the tragus of the ear fall in the same horizontal plane, parallel to the ground. The head is held comfortably erect, with the arms hanging at the side.
c) It must ensured that the buttocks, shoulder and back of the head are in the same line and will touch the anthropometer rod.
d) The movable head piece of the rod is lowered and this should touch the head gently.
G) Height is measured to the nearest millimeter.

In the case infants and children who cannot stand, crown-heal length (equivalent of standing height) should be taken using an Infantometer. This is a specially prepared wooden scale on which the infant is made to lie down, with the head touching the fixed head piece. The legs are extended fully by pressure on the knees and the movable sliding piece is allowed to touch the flat of the soles of the feet firmly and the measurement is taken.
2. Body weight
a) To be measured at basal conditions.
b) The subject is made to stand on the plat form of a lever actuated balance after removing footwear and with minimal clothing.
c) The subject stands on the platform without touching any other surface or object.
d) Measurement is made to the nearest $1 / 10$ th of $a$ kilogram.
e) In case of children who do not co-operate, weight should be taken with an adult carrying him. The adult is then weighed separately and his weight deducted from the total, to get the child's weight.
3. Mid upper arm circumference
a) It is measured at the mid point of the upper arm on the left side,
d) The mid point is located by marking the central point of the distance between the olecrenon process of the ulna and the acromion of the scapula when the arm is flexed at the elbow.
c) The left arm is kept hanging loosely on the side, and the circumference of the arm is measured by passing a steel tape around it. The tape is applied firmly but without disturbing the contours of the arm.
4.

Fat fold at triceps
a) This is measured on the left arm and the the same point where the arm circumference is measured.
b) A perpendicular line is drawn to the midpoint in line with the olecranon for convenience.
c) The subject is asked to hang the hand freely by the side.
d) The measurement is made with skin fold calipers with the elbow slightly fixed.
e) A fold of skin is lifted gently between the fingers of the left hand, about 1 cm . above the midpoint end the calipers applied at the marked site and the measurement taken in mm.

## 5. Head circumference

a) This is measured with a flexible steel tape.
b) The tape is passed round the head, encircling the occipital protruberence on the back, (the most prominent projecting pert on the back of the head) and the glabella on the anterior side of the head.
c) The tape is held firmly around end the measurement taken.
6. Chest circumference
e) This is measured with a flexible steel tape as above.
b) The tape is passed round the chest, just below the inferior angles of the scapuled on the back and over the nipples in front.
c) The measurement is taken with the tape held firmly in position.
d) Measurement is the mean of the readings at inspiration and expiretion.

## APPENDIX-I

## SAMPLING PROCEDURES

The main object of statistical sampling is to obtain a representative sample of the population from each state, so that the data collected on the diet and nutritional status closely reflects the situation as it exists in the population. A total of 500 rural households, each year in each of the states are covered. Out of the 500 households, in 400 households, family food intake is assessed by one day weighment (of raw food) method, while in the remaining 100 households, dietary intakes of all the individuals are assessed through oral questionnaire (24 hour recall) method of diet survey. Selection of districts:

Since a State cannot be considered to be a homogenous group, it was decided to cover all districts within each state over a period of time. As there will be marked variations even between districts, they are stratified into four developmental categories, based on the following district level information.
a) Total foodgralns produced per year (making corrections for rural to urban ratio, within each district).
b) Proportion of area under food crops to total irrigated area.
c) Proportion of agriculturists to the total number engaged in agriculture (i.e. agriculturists + agricultural labourers).

In each of these three criteria it is assumed that higher the value, higher would be the district in the developmental scale. Hence for each of the criteria,
the district with the highest value, is given rank one while the district with the lowest value is given the last rank. After assigning ranks from these three criteria, for each district, the following procedure has been adopted;

The average rank for all three criteria put together for each district is obtained;
a) The districts are grouped into 4 categories: A, B, C and D based upon the average ranks.
b) The theoretically obtainable maximum average rank value has been divided into 4 equally spaced groups so that four quartiles are obtained.

Sample
If the maximumaverage value is 20, the following
four quartiles obtained:

| 1st Quartile | - | 1 to 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2nd Quartile | - | 6 to 10 |
| 3rd Quartile | - | 11 to 15 |
| 4th Quartile | - | 16 and above |

These districts with ranks between 1 and 5 are grouped as A; between 6 and 10 as B; between 11 and 15 as $C$ and 16 and above as D.

In each of these four categories, one district is selected for study every year, by random sampling procedure. By this procedure, it is expected that all the districts in a state will be covered within 3 to 6 years depending upon the total number of districts in the state. Once all the districts are covered, the second round of survey will be taken up.

This is determined by using the following information: Percent rural population in each selected district to the total rural population of the state.

Contribution of each selected district to the total percentage of rural population as obtained in (a).

## Example

If district (A) has 100,000 rural population and the state 1000,000 rural population, the district's contribution will be 10\%. If four districts are selected, whose combined contribution comes to $25 \%$ of total rural population of the state, then in the district (*) 10/25 x 500 households will be covered i.e. 200 (since it has been decided that 500 households will be covered in the state).

As the above mentioned procedure of determination of number households to be surveyed in each district was found to result in a few instances in inadequate number of households, it was decided in 1980 that uniformly 125 households should be surveyed by the teams in each selected district. In the report, no corrections were carried out in the pooling of these data collected from different districts.

Selection of villages
For this purpose, all the villages in each of the district were classified into the following three categories, using 1961 district census handbook.

Practical nutrition work often involves the assessment of the. calorie needs of groups of persons. In such eases, it is usual to assess the needs of women and children in terms of those of the average man by applying various coefficients to the different age and sex groups. The following scale is suggested for practical nutrition work in India, the calorie consumption of an average adult male doing sedentary work is taken as one consumption unit and the other coefficients are worked out on the basis of the calorie requirements. (Ref. Nutritive Value of Indian Foods - NIN, ICMR, Hyd. India, 1980).

Adult male (Sedentary worker) Adult male (Moderate worker) Adult male (Heavy worker) Adult female (Sedentary worker)
1.0
1.2
1.6

Adult female (Moderate worker)
Adult female (Heavy worker)
Adolescents - 12 to 21 years
Children - 9 to 12 years
Children - 7 to 9 years
Children - 5 to 7 years
Children - 3 to 5 years
Children - 1 to 3 years
0.8
0.9
1.2
1.0
0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
0.4

It must be emphasized that this scale of co-efficients is a somewhat arbitrary one, and concerns only calories. It is not meant to be applied in assessing the needs for other nutrients.

Standards* for body weight (kg) used for classification of children into nutritional grades (Gomez classification)

> Age (Yrs.)

Boys Girls

1+
10.50
9.80

2+
12.50
11.30

3+
14.75
13.30
$4+$
17.25
15.65

* Source Hanumantha Rao, D., Satyanarayana, K. and Gowrinath Sastry, J. (1976) . Growth pattern of well-to-do Hyderabad pre-school children. Ind. J. Med. Res. 64, 629-638.

Sedentary
Kale: Teacher, Tailor, Barber, Executives, Shoe-maker, Priest, Retired Personnel, Land-Lord, Peon, Post-man etc.

Female: Teacher, Tailor, Executives, House-wife, Nurses etc. Moderate

Male : Fisherman, Basket-maker, Potter, Gold-smith, Agricultural labour, Carpenter, Mason, Rickshaw-puller, Electrician, Fitter, Turner, Welder, Industrial labour, Cooli, V7eaver, Driver etc.

Female: Servant-Maid, Cooli, Basket-maker, Weaver, Agricultural labour, Beedi-maker etc.

Heavy:
Male: Stone-cutter, Black-smith, Mine-worker, Wood-cutter, Gang-man etc.

Female: Stone-cutter.


[^0]:    NATIONAL NUTRITION MONITORING BUREAU
    NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF NUTRITION Indian Council of Medical Research Hyderabad-500 007 .

[^1]:    * In each state the team consisted of one Medical Officer , one Dietitian, one Auxiliary Nurse Midwife, one Field Assistant and a Driver. Every month the team was expected to tour rural areas for about 20-22 days to cover rural sample and in the remaining 8 to 10 days to conduct urban surveys either at headquarters or its neighbouring cities.

[^2]:    Note: Sample covered was less than 25 in other areas.

[^3]:    $S^{\bullet} \varepsilon$

    $$
    L \cdot 8 Z \quad 9 \cdot \angle \nabla
    $$

    $$
    z \cdot 0 乙
    $$

    $$
    \varepsilon \varepsilon 6
    $$

    Pooled

[^4]:    Note: Coverage was less than 25 in the city of Calcutta

[^5]:    Note: Coverage is less than 25 in the city of Bhopal

[^6]:    Note: Coverage is less than 25 in Bhopal.

